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Abstract

Artificial neural networks have the advantages such as learning, adaptation, fault-tolerance, parallelism and generalization. This paper mainly intends to offer a novel method for finding a solution of a fuzzy equation that supposedly has a real solution. For this scope, we applied an architecture of fuzzy neural networks such that the corresponding connection weights are real numbers. The suggested neural net can adjust the weights using a learning algorithm that based on the gradient descent method. The proposed method is illustrated by several examples with computer simulations.
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1. Introduction

Fuzzy equations are very useful for solving many problems in several applied fields like mathematical economics and optimal control theory, because many mathematical formulations of physical phenomena contain these kinds of equations. Therefore, various approaches for solving these problems have been proposed. One approach to indirect solution is using fuzzy neural networks (FNNs). In recent years the FNN model has been rapidly developed and put into use in a wide variety fields [9, 10, 21]. FNNs are simplified models of the biological nervous system and therefore have drawn their motivation from the kind of computing performed by a human brain. FNNs are in general capable of close approximation of the prediction model without the need of its explicit (mathematical) formulation in contrast to statistical approaches.

First time, Buckley in [8] applied a structure of FNNs for solving fuzzy equations. Ishibuchi et al. [13] defined a cost function for every pair of fuzzy output vector and its corresponding fuzzy target vector and also designed a learning algorithm of fuzzy neural networks with triangular and trapezoidal fuzzy weights. Hayashi et al. [12] fuzzified the delta rule and summarized much of the work in fuzzy neural networks. Buckley and Eslami [7] employed neural nets to solve fuzzy problems with both real and complex fuzzy numbers. Moreover, Linear and nonlinear fuzzy equations have been solved in [1, 2, 3, 6]. Jafarian et al. [16] proposed a numerical scheme to solve fuzzy linear volterra integral equations system. The topic of numerical solution of fuzzy
polynomials by FNN investigated by Abbasbandy et al. [4], consist of finding solution to polynomials like \( a_n x^n + \ldots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0 \) where \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \) are fuzzy numbers. Jafarian and Jafari [15] applied fuzzy feed-back neural network method for approximation of the crisp solution of dual fuzzy polynomials. We refer the reader to [20, 22] for more information on fuzzy polynomials.

The objective of this paper is primarily to design a new model based on FNNs for approximate solution of fuzzy equations. In this work, an architecture of FFNN2 (fuzzy feed-forward neural network with fuzzy set input signals, fuzzy output signal and real number weights) equivalent to fuzzy equation of the form \( A_1 f_1(x) + \ldots + A_n f_n(x) = A_0 \) is built, where \( A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_n \) are fuzzy numbers and \( f_i(x) \) (for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \)) are real functions. The proposed neural network has two layers that the input-output relation of each unit is defined by the extension principle of Zadeh [23]. The coefficients of the fuzzy equation and the right hand fuzzy number are considered as input signals and target output, respectively. The output from the neural network which is also a fuzzy number, is numerically compared with the target output. Next a cost function is defined that measures the difference between the fuzzy target output and corresponding actual fuzzy output. Then the suggested neural net using a learning algorithm that based on the gradient descent method adjusts the crisp connection weights to any desired degree of accuracy.

The remainder of the paper is organized according to the following outline: In Section 2, some basic definitions are presented. In section 3, the fuzzy equation is briefly described. In this section, we describe how to find a real solution of the fuzzy equation by using FFNs. In section 4, the applicability of the method is illustrated by several examples in which the exact solution and the computed results are compared with each other. Finally, conclusion is described in section 5.

2. Preliminaries

In this section the most basic used notations in fuzzy calculus are briefly introduced. We started by defining the fuzzy number.

**Definition 1.** A fuzzy number is a fuzzy \( \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow I = [0,1] \) such that:

i. \( u \) is upper semi-continuous,

ii. \( u(x) = 0 \) outside some interval \([a, d]\),

iii. There are real numbers \( b, c: a \leq b \leq c \leq d \), for which:

1. \( u(x) \) is monotonically increasing on \([a, b]\),
2. \( u(x) \) is monotonically decreasing on \([c, d]\),
3. \( u(x) = 1, \) \( b \leq x \leq c \).

The set of all fuzzy numbers (as given by definition 1) is denoted by \( E^1 \) [11, 19]. An alternative definition which yields the same \( E^1 \) is given by Kaleva [17] and Ma et al. [18].

**Definition 2.** A fuzzy number \( \nu \) is a pair \( (\underline{\nu}, \overline{\nu}) \) of function \( \underline{\nu}(r) \) and \( \overline{\nu}(r): 0 \leq r \leq 1 \), which satisfy the following requirements:

i. \( \underline{\nu}(r) \) is a bounded monotonically increasing, left continuous function on (0, 1] and right continuous at 0,
ii. \( \tau(r) \) is a bounded monotonically decreasing, left continuous function on \((0, 1]\) and right continuous at 0,

iii. \( \gamma(r) \leq \tau(r) : 0 \leq r \leq 1 \).

A popular fuzzy number is the triangular fuzzy number \( v = (v_m, v_l, v_u) \) where \( v_m \) denotes the modal value and the real values \( v_l \geq 0 \) and \( v_u \geq 0 \) represent the left and right fuzziness, respectively. The membership function of a triangular fuzzy number is defined as follows:

\[
\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x - v_m + 1}{v_l}, & v_m - v_l \leq x \leq v_m, \\
\frac{v_m - x + 1}{v_u}, & v_m \leq x \leq v_m + v_u, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Its parametric form is:

\[
\gamma(r) = v_m + v_l(1-r), \quad \tau(r) = v_m + v_u (1-r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1.
\]

Triangular fuzzy numbers are fuzzy numbers in \( LR \) representation where the reference functions \( L \) and \( R \) are linear.

### 2.1 Operations on fuzzy numbers

We briefly mention fuzzy number operations defined by the extension principle [23,24].

\[
\mu_{A \wedge B}(z) = \max \{ \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y) \mid z = x + y \},
\]

\[
\mu_{f(\text{Net})}(z) = \max \{ \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(y) \mid z = xy \},
\]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are fuzzy numbers, \( \mu(.) \) denotes the membership function of each fuzzy number, \( \wedge \) is the minimum operator, and \( f \) is a continuous activation function (such as \( f(x) = x \)).

The above operations on fuzzy numbers are numerically performed on level sets (i.e. \( \alpha \)-cuts). For \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \), \( \alpha \) – level set of a fuzzy number \( A \) is defined as:

\[
[A]^\alpha = \{ x \mid \mu_A(x) \geq \alpha, x \in \mathbb{R} \},
\]

and \([A]^0 = \bigcup_{\alpha(0,1]} [A]^\alpha\). Since level sets of fuzzy numbers become closed intervals, we denote \([A]^\alpha\) by

\[
[A]^\alpha = [L_A^\alpha, U_A^\alpha],
\]

where \([L_A^\alpha] and \([U_A^\alpha] are the lower and the upper limits of the \( \alpha \)-level set \([A]^\alpha\), respectively. From interval arithmetic [5], the above operations on fuzzy numbers are written for the \( \alpha \)-level sets as follows:

\[
[A]^\alpha + [B]^\alpha = [L_A^\alpha, L_B^\alpha + U_A^\alpha, U_B^\alpha],
\]

\[
[f([\text{Net}])^\alpha] = f([\text{Net}])^\alpha = f([L_{\text{Net}}^\alpha, U_{\text{Net}}^\alpha]),
\]

\[
[A]^\alpha + [B]^\alpha = [A]^\alpha + [B]^\alpha + [A]^\alpha + [B]^\alpha.
\]
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\[ k[A]^u = k\left([A]^u, [A]^v\right) = \begin{bmatrix} k\left([A]^u_i, k[A]^u_i\right) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{if} \quad k \geq 0, \]

\[ k[A]^v = k\left([A]^v_i, [A]^v_i\right) = \begin{bmatrix} k\left([A]^v_i, k[A]^v_i\right) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{if} \quad k < 0. \]

For arbitrary \( u = (u, u) \) and \( v = (v, v) \) we define addition \((u + v)\) and multiplication by \( k \) as \([11, 19]\):

\[
(u + v)(r) = u(r) + v(r),
\]

\[
(ku)(r) = ku(r), \quad (kv)(r) = kv(r), \quad \text{if} \quad k \geq 0,
\]

\[
(ku)(r) = ku(r), \quad (kv)(r) = k\bar{u}(r), \quad \text{if} \quad k < 0.
\]

3. Fuzzy equation

We are interested in finding a real solution of the fuzzy equation (if exist) in general form

\[ A_1 f_1(x) + \ldots + A_n f_n(x) = A_0, \]

where \( A_i \in E^i \) and \( f_i(x) \) (for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \)) are real functions.

For getting an approximate solution, an architecture of feed-forward neural network equivalent to Eq. (3) is built. The network is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. The proposed neural network.](image)

3.1 Input-output relation of each unit

Consider a two-layer FFNN2 with \( n \) input neurons and one output neuron. It is clear that the input vector, the target output are triangular fuzzy numbers and connection weights are crisp numbers. When a fuzzy input vector \( A = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n) \) is presented to the FFNN2, then the input-output relation of each unit can be written as follows (see Figure 1):

Input units:

The input neurons make no change in their inputs, so:

\[ O_i = A_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]
Output unit:

\[ Y = f(Net), \]

\[ Net = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i, O_i), \]

where \( A_i \) is a triangular fuzzy number and \( w_i \) is a crisp connection weight. The relations between the input neurons and the output neuron in Eqs. (4)-(5) are defined by the extension principle [23] as in Hayashi et al. [12] and Ishibuchi et al. [14].

### 3.2 Calculation of fuzzy output

The fuzzy output from of neuron in the second layer is numerically calculated for crisp weights and level sets of fuzzy inputs. The input-output relations of the fuzzy neural network as shown in Figure 1 can be written for the \( \alpha \)-level sets as follows:

#### Input units:

\[ [O_i]^{\alpha} = [A_i]^{\alpha}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \]

#### Output unit:

Let \( f \) be an one-to-one activation function. Now we have:

\[ [Y]^{\alpha} = f([Net]^{\alpha}), \]

\[ [Net]^{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i, [O_i]^{\alpha}). \]

From Eqs. (6)-(7), we can conclude that the \( \alpha \)-level sets of the fuzzy output \( Y \) are calculated from those of the fuzzy inputs and crisp weights. From Eqs. (1)-(2), the above relations are transformed to following form:

#### Input units:

\[ [O_i]^{\alpha} = ([O_i]^{\alpha}, [O_i]^{\alpha}) = ([A_i]^{\alpha}, [A_i]^{\alpha}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \]

#### Output unit:

\[ [Y]^{\alpha} = ([Y]^{\alpha}, [Y]^{\alpha}) = f([Net]^{\alpha}, f([Net]^{\alpha})), \]

where

\[ [Net]^{\alpha} = [Net]^{\alpha}, [Net]^{\alpha} = \]

\[ \sum_{i \in M} (w_i, [O_i]^{\alpha}) + \sum_{i \in M} (w_i, [O_i]^{\alpha}) + \sum_{i \in C} (w_i, [O_i]^{\alpha}) + \sum_{i \in C} (w_i, [O_i]^{\alpha}), \]

where \( M = \{i \mid w_i \geq 0 \}, C = \{i \mid w_i < 0 \} \) and \( M \cup C = \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

**Lemma 1.** Let fuzzy equation \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i f_i(x) = A_b \) has a solution for real crisp number \( x \), then

\( D \neq \emptyset \) where \( D = \cap_{i=1}^{n} \text{domain} (f_i(x)) \).

**Proof.** Let \( x_0 \in R \) be a solution of Eq. (3). Consequently, we can write:

\[ A_1 f_1(x_0) + \ldots + A_b f_b(x_0) = A_b, \]
and it follows from the above relation that \( f'(x_0) \) exists. It is clear that \( x_0 \in \text{domain } (f'_i(x)) \) and consequently \( x_0 \in \cap_{j=1}^{n} \text{domain } (f'_i(x)) \neq \phi \)

**Corollary 1.** The necessary condition for existence solution of Eq. (3) is \( D \neq \phi \).

An architecture of FFNN2 solution to Eq. (3) has been given in Figure 1. The modelling scheme is designed with the simple and versatile fuzzy neural network architecture. Now let \( A_0 \) be the target output corresponding to the fuzzy input vector \( A = (A_1, ..., A_n) \). We want to define a cost function for the \( \alpha \)-level sets of the fuzzy output \( Y \) and the corresponding target output \( A_0 \):

\[
\epsilon^\alpha = \epsilon^\alpha_i + \epsilon^\alpha_u, \tag{9}
\]

where

\[
\epsilon^\alpha_i = \frac{\left( [A_0]_\alpha^n - [Y]_\alpha^n \right)^2}{2},
\]

\[
\epsilon^\alpha_u = \frac{\left( [A_0]_\alpha^n - [Y]_\alpha^n \right)^2}{2}.
\]

In the cost function (9), \( \epsilon^\alpha_i \) and \( \epsilon^\alpha_u \) can be viewed as the squared errors for the lower limits and the upper limits of the \( \alpha \)-level sets of the fuzzy output \( Y \) and target output \( A_0 \), respectively. Now the cost function for the input-output pair \( \{A; A_0\} \) is obtained as:

\[
e = \sum_\alpha \epsilon^\alpha. \tag{10}
\]

### 3.3 Learning algorithm of the FFNN2

Let a real quantity \( x_0 \) is initialized at random value for variable \( x \). The main aim of this subdivision is to offer an algorithm for adjusting the parameter \( x_0 \) and connection weight \( w_j \). For real parameter \( x_0 \) adjustment rule can be written as follows:

\[
x_0(t+1) = x_0(t) + \Delta x_0(t), \tag{11}
\]

\[
\Delta x_0(t) = -\eta \cdot \frac{\partial \epsilon^\alpha}{\partial x_0} + \gamma \cdot \Delta x_0(t-1), \tag{12}
\]

where \( t \) is the number of adjustments, \( \eta \) is the learning rate and \( \gamma \) is the momentum term constant. Thus our problem is to calculate the derivative \( \frac{\partial \epsilon^\alpha}{\partial x_0} \) in (12). The given derivative can be calculated from the cost function \( \epsilon^\alpha \) and by using the input-output relations (6)-(7). The derivative \( \frac{\partial \epsilon^\alpha}{\partial x_0} \) can be calculated as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial \epsilon^\alpha}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial \epsilon^\alpha_i}{\partial x_0} + \frac{\partial \epsilon^\alpha_u}{\partial x_0}, \tag{13}
\]

where
\[ \frac{\partial e^a}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial e^a}{\partial [Y]^a} \cdot \frac{\partial [Y]^a}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial [Ner]^a}{\partial x_0}, \]

\[ \frac{\partial e^a}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial e^a}{\partial [Y]^a} \cdot \frac{\partial [Y]^a}{\partial x_0} = \frac{\partial [Ner]^a}{\partial x_0}, \]

and

\[ \frac{\partial [Ner]^a}{\partial x_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial [Ner]^a}{\partial w_i} \cdot \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_0} = \sum_{i=M}^{n} \left( [A]^a \cdot f'(x_0) \right) \right), \]

\[ \frac{\partial [Ner]^a}{\partial x_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial [Ner]^a}{\partial w_i} \cdot \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_0} = \sum_{i=M}^{n} \left( [A]^a \cdot f'(x_0) \right) \right). \]

Consequently

\[ \Delta x_0(t) = \eta \cdot \sum_{i=M}^{n} \left( \left( [A]^a - [Y]^a \right) \cdot f'(x_0) \right) = \sum_{i=C}^{n} \left( [A]^a \cdot f'(x_0) \right) + \sum_{i=C}^{n} \left( [A]^a \cdot f'(x_0) \right) + \gamma \cdot \Delta x_0(t-1). \]

where \( M = \{ i \mid w_i \geq 0 \} \), \( C = \{ i \mid w_i < 0 \} \) and \( M \cup C = \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

After adjusting the parameter \( x_0 \) by using Eqs. (11)-(12), the connection weights are updated as follows:

\[ w_i(t+1) = f'(x_0(t+1)), \quad i=1, \ldots, n. \]

Let us assume that input-output pair \( \{A; A_0\} \) where \( A = (A_1, \ldots, A_n) \) are given as training data and also \( m \) values of \( \alpha \)-level sets (i.e. \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m \)) are used for the learning of fuzzy neural network. Then the learning algorithm can be summarized as follows:

**Learning algorithm**

1. \( \eta > 0, \gamma > 0 \) and \( E_{max} > 0 \) are chosen. Also crisp quantity \( x_0 \in D \) is initialized at random value.

2. Let \( t := 0 \) where \( t \) is the number of iterations of the learning algorithm. Then the running error \( E \) is set to 0.

3. Calculate the crisp connection weights as follows:

\[ w_i(t) = f'(x_0(t)), \quad i=1, \ldots, n. \]

4. Let \( t := t + 1 \). Repeat Step 5 for \( \alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m \).

5. \( \hat{\theta} \) Forward calculation: Calculate the \( \alpha \)-level set of the fuzzy output \( Y \) by presenting the \( \alpha \)-level set of the fuzzy input vector \( A \).

6. Back-propagation: Adjust the parameter \( x_0 \) using the cost function (9) for the \( \alpha \)-level sets of the fuzzy output \( Y \) and the target output \( A_0 \). Then update the weights by using Step 3.

7. Cumulative cycle error is computed by adding the present error to \( E \).

8. The training cycle is completed. For \( E < E_{max} \) terminate the training session. If \( E > E_{max} \) then \( E \) is set to 0 and we initiate a new training cycle by going back to Step 4.
4. Numerical examples

To show the behavior and properties of the proposed method, four examples have been solved in this section. For each example, the computed values of the approximate solution are calculated and the cost function is plotted over a number of iterations.

Example 4.1. Consider the following fuzzy equation:

\[(1, 2, 3)e^x + (2, 3, 4)\sin(x) + (3, 4, 5)x^3 = (1, 2, 3),\]

with the exact solution is \(x = 0\) and \(x \in \mathbb{R}\). In this example, we apply the proposed method to approximate solution of this fuzzy equation. The training pattern is as follows:

\[\{(A_1, A_2) = (1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 4), (3, 4, 5), (1, 2, 3)\}\].

The FFNN2 is trained with three input units and single output neuron. The training starts with \(x_0 = 1\), \(\eta = 0.001\) and \(\gamma = 0.001\). Table 1 shows the approximated solution over a number of iterations and Figures 2 and 3 show the accuracy of the calculated solution \(x_0(t)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(t)</th>
<th>(x_0(t))</th>
<th>(e)</th>
<th>(t)</th>
<th>(x_0(t))</th>
<th>(e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.83914</td>
<td>861.0975</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.011710</td>
<td>0.0522081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.70215</td>
<td>462.5263</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.009246</td>
<td>0.0325274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.58561</td>
<td>256.2918</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.0072979</td>
<td>0.0202522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.48657</td>
<td>150.7682</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.0057581</td>
<td>0.0126028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.40254</td>
<td>90.27531</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.004542</td>
<td>0.0078392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.33146</td>
<td>55.26543</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.003582</td>
<td>0.0048745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.27161</td>
<td>34.36732</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.002824</td>
<td>0.0030302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.22148</td>
<td>21.59092</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.002226</td>
<td>0.0018833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.17975</td>
<td>13.64433</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.001755</td>
<td>0.0011702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.14524</td>
<td>8.645593</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.001383</td>
<td>0.0007271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2. The cost function for Example 4.1 over the number of iterations.](image-url)
Example 4.2. Let fuzzy equation
\[ (-1,0,1)x^2 + (-2,0,2)x^3 + (-3,0,3)\sin(x-1) = (-3,0,3), \]
with the exact solution \( x=1 \). Similarly, we assumed that \( x_0 = 0.5 \), \( \eta = 0.01 \) and \( \gamma = 0.01 \). Numerical result can be found in Table 2. Also Figures 4 and 5 show the accuracy of the solution \( x_0(t) \).

Table 2. The approximated solutions with error analysis for Example 4.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( x_0(t) )</th>
<th>( c )</th>
<th>( \chi_0(t) )</th>
<th>( \epsilon )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.58610</td>
<td>420.5535</td>
<td>0.97840</td>
<td>0.0245014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.66124</td>
<td>356.6554</td>
<td>0.98358</td>
<td>0.0221424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.72595</td>
<td>303.7533</td>
<td>0.98753</td>
<td>0.0200111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.78074</td>
<td>259.7104</td>
<td>0.99054</td>
<td>0.0180855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.82634</td>
<td>222.8538</td>
<td>0.99283</td>
<td>0.0132040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.86367</td>
<td>191.8637</td>
<td>0.99456</td>
<td>0.0076470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.89378</td>
<td>165.6911</td>
<td>0.99588</td>
<td>0.0044163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.91775</td>
<td>143.4962</td>
<td>0.99688</td>
<td>0.0025451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.93663</td>
<td>124.6025</td>
<td>0.99764</td>
<td>0.0014644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.95138</td>
<td>108.4619</td>
<td>0.99821</td>
<td>0.0008415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. The cost function for Example 4.2 over the number of iterations.
Example 4.3. We consider the fuzzy equation

\[-2, 1, 1)e^x + (1, 2, 3)\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(x+1)\right) + (3, 5, 6)\ln e^{x+1} = (2, 6, 10),\]

With the exact solution \(x = 0\). Learning is started with \(x_0 = -0.5\), \(\eta = 0.002\) and \(\gamma = 0.002\). Similarly, Numerical result can be found in Table 3. Figures 6 and 7 show the accuracy of the approximate solution \(x(t)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>t</th>
<th>(x_0(t))</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>(x_0(t))</th>
<th>c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.38289</td>
<td>187.7403</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-0.0034570</td>
<td>0.009737422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.30599</td>
<td>101.4838</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-0.0030276</td>
<td>0.007466416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.25043</td>
<td>62.25337</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-0.0026515</td>
<td>0.005725975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.20813</td>
<td>40.74910</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-0.0023223</td>
<td>0.004391846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.17484</td>
<td>27.75027</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-0.0020343</td>
<td>0.003368974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.14804</td>
<td>19.40627</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-0.0017816</td>
<td>0.002584605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-0.12610</td>
<td>13.82995</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-0.0015606</td>
<td>0.001983039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.10792</td>
<td>9.995062</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-0.0013671</td>
<td>0.001521611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.09271</td>
<td>7.301395</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-0.0011976</td>
<td>0.001167634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.07988</td>
<td>5.378616</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-0.0010491</td>
<td>0.000896059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. The cost function for Example 4.3 over the number of iterations.
Example 4.4. Consider the fuzzy equation

\[(2, 4, 6)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2} x) + 2\cos^2(x - 1) + (2, -1, 0)x^3 = (2, 5, 8),\]

with the exact solution \( x = 1 \). Using a similar manner which has been described in previous examples, training is started with \( x_0 = 1.5, \eta = 0.01 \) and \( \gamma = 0.01 \). Numerical result can be found in Table 4. Similarly, Figures 8 and 9 show the accuracy of the solution \( x(t) \).

Table 4. The approximated solutions with error analysis for Example 4.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( x_0(t) )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon )</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( x_0(t) )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3887</td>
<td>640.4183</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0135</td>
<td>0.493675900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2974</td>
<td>322.2644</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0092</td>
<td>0.228763600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2234</td>
<td>163.8896</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0062</td>
<td>0.105645100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.1647</td>
<td>83.1223</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0042</td>
<td>0.048672060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.1193</td>
<td>41.67327</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0029</td>
<td>0.022387090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0850</td>
<td>20.56737</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.0019</td>
<td>0.010285530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0598</td>
<td>9.988083</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.0013</td>
<td>0.004721960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0416</td>
<td>4.780992</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.0009</td>
<td>0.002166658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0287</td>
<td>2.261678</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0006</td>
<td>0.000993581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0197</td>
<td>1.060221</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.0006</td>
<td>0.000993581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. The cost function for Example 4.4 over the number of iterations.
5. Conclusions

In this paper, a new architecture of feed-forward neural networks has been proposed to approximate solution of a fuzzy polynomial. Presented FFNN2 in this study is a numerical method for calculating unknown coefficients in the given equation. It is clear that to get the best approximating solution of the equation, number of iterations must be chosen large enough. With the availability of this methodology, now it will be possible to investigate the approximate solution of other kinds of fuzzy equations. The analyzed examples illustrate the ability and reliability of the present method. The obtained solutions, in comparison with exact solutions admit a remarkable accuracy.
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