A visible light driven doped TiO$_2$ nanophotocatalyst: Preparation and characterization

ABSTRACT

A useful nanophotocatalyst (La,S-TiO$_2$) was prepared by a sol-gel method and characterized by UV–vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS), X-ray diffraction (XRD), photoluminescence emission spectroscopy (PL) and scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). The results showed that the La,S-TiO$_2$, which calcined at 550 °C, contained only anatase phase and its crystal size was 23 nm. In addition, the FESEM analysis indicates that the La,S-TiO$_2$ nano particles with diameter of 20 to 25 nm could have a good dispersion in solution. In order to evaluate of activity of the modified synthesized photocatalyst (La,S-TiO$_2$), comparison between modified synthesized TiO$_2$ (La,S-TiO$_2$) and pure synthesized TiO$_2$ for degradation of Methyl Orange was investigated. It was found that the modified synthesized photocatalysis (La,S-TiO$_2$) has much higher photocatalytic activity than undoped TiO$_2$ for the degradation of contamination.
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INTRODUCTION

Photocatalysis has recently become a common word and various products using photocatalytic functions have been commercialized. Among many candidates for photocatalysts, TiO$_2$ is almost the only material suitable for industrial use at present and also probably in the future. Because, TiO$_2$ is a high efficient, low cost as well as high stable photocatalysis and also its photogenerated holes are highly oxidizing. In addition, the photogenerated electrons are reducing enough to produce superoxide from oxygen [1,2].

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have received great attention for treatment of contaminated water. The mechanism of degradation in AOPs is based on the formation of a very reactive hydroxyl radical (OH•) which can oxidize a wide range of organic compounds [3, 4].
The photocatalytic treatment is one of the most efficient and economical AOPs for elimination of hazardous environmental pollutants using TiO$_2$ [5-7]. However, most of researchers have worked under UV irradiation due to the band edge absorption threshold less than 400 nm (wide band gap: 3.2 ev) of TiO$_2$ [8-11]. Doping TiO$_2$ with metals cause enhancements of adsorbed visible light. Recently, doping lanthanide metals such as La, Eu and Ce has received much attention [12-14]. Among various dopants, the lanthanide element doped in TiO$_2$ has special 4f electronic structure. This metal can form complexes with different Lewis bases in the inter-action of the functional groups with their f-orbital and improve conversion efficiency of TiO$_2$ [15]. Many researchers have reported that doping with La exhibits effective photocatalytic activity for the degradation of organic pollutants under visible light irradiation [16-19]. On the other hand, it appears that doping with S makes an enormous improvement in the performance of TiO$_2$ photocatalyst [20,21]. Doping of sulfur could help to form a new band gap, decrease crystallite size and control the crystallization [22,23]. Wang et al. [24] reported that doping of S causes 48% increase in removal efficiency of L-acid under visible light in comparison with pure TiO$_2$ without sulfur doping.

In this article, La,S-TiO$_2$ and pure TiO$_2$ nanoparticle photocatalysts were synthesized using a sol–gel process. Moreover, the photocatalysis was utilized to degrade the Methyl Orange (Figure 1) in order to evaluate the activity of the modified synthesized photocatalyst (La,S-TiO$_2$) in visible light irradiation.

![Fig. 1. Structure of Methyl Orange](image)

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Catalyst preparation**

All chemicals used in this study were reagent-grade without further purification. La,S-TiO$_2$ precursor was prepared by a sol–gel process as follows: first, Titanium (IV) isopropoxide was dissolved and hydrolyzed in glacial acetic acid at a mole ratio of 1:10 at 0 °C. The solution was stirred magnetically for 30 min to give solution 1. La(NO$_3$)$_3$3.6H$_2$O (La:Ti mol ratio = 0.3%) and thiourea (S:Ti =1 molar ratio) was dissolved in appropriate amount of deionized water to give solution 2. Then the solution 1 was added dropwise to the solution 2 for 70 min while solution 2 mixing. The resulting solution was ultrasonicated at 0 °C for 20 min and stirred continuously for 260 min. Subsequently, the solution was ultrasonicated for second time and kept for a day at room temperature in dark area. Then the solution was gelated at 76 °C and dried at 120 °C. The dried photocatalyst was ground with a mortar and pestle into fine powders and calcined at 550 °C for 3 h to prepare the coveted nanoparticle photocatalysts. Undoped TiO$_2$ was synthesized similar to above method, without using of La(NO$_3$)$_3$3.6H$_2$O and thiourea in solution 2.

**Characterization of synthesized photocatalysts**

The phases present in the photocatalyst was investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (Philips X’pert Pro MPD model) with Cu Kradiation (40 kV, 40 mA) at 2angles from 10° to 80° and with a scan speed of 4° min$^{-1}$. The structure, surface characteristics and size of nanoparticle was investigated by using FESEM (Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope) Hitachi S4160. UV-Vis spectroscopy of the samples was taken out by an Ava Spec-2048TEC spectrometer from 350 nm to 750 nm wavelengths. As also, the photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the samples were recorded by a Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrometer at room temperature. The degradation efficiency was measured by UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Lambda2S).

**Evaluation of photocatalytic activity**

The photo colorization experiments were performed in a Pyrex glass 100 ml (10 ppm) of Methyl Orange solution and 100 mg of certain photocatalyst. The photoreactor temperature was kept at room and pH of solution was constant (pH=3). A 400 W Osram lamp equipped with a UV cut-off filters ($\lambda$> 400 nm) was employed as a visible light source. The mixture was magnetically stirred in the darkness for 30 min in order to reach
the steady state of adsorption equilibrium on the nanophotocatalyst surface. After that the lamps turned on and performed during 90 min. Then, the sample was centrifuged to extract solid particles. Remain solution used for analysis. The Methyl Orange concentration in the solution was determined by using a UV spectrophotometer. Their photocatalytic degradation efficiency can be calculated by formula: $D = \frac{(A_0 - A)}{A_0} \times 100\%$, in which $A_0$ is the initial absorption and $A$ is the absorption at the time.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**XRD**

Figure 2 shows XRD patterns of La,S-TiO$_2$ and undoped TiO$_2$. The strongest peak at $2\theta = 25.48^\circ$ clearly demonstrates the (101) anatase phase of TiO$_2$ crystal. No observable rutile phase is observed in both samples. The phase structures were affected by lanthanum doping. In addition to this, the La phase could observe in the pattern. This maybe indicated that La$^{3+}$ entered into TiO$_2$ crystal lattice to substitute for Ti$^{4+}$ [25,26]. Moreover, Crystallite sizes of the prepared samples were determined through the widths of half-height in terms of the Scherrer equation:

$$\text{Crystallite size} = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{W \cos \theta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength corresponding to Cu-K$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.15406$ nm), $W = W_b - W_s$, $W_b$ is the broadened profile width of the experimental sample and $W_s$ is the standard profile width of the reference sample, and $\theta$ is the diffraction angle with characteristic peak [27].

The values of crystallite size of undoped TiO$_2$ and La,S-TiO$_2$ are 36 and 23 nm, respectively. Based on the XRD analyses, La$^{3+}$ & S$^{6+}$ doping can hinder the increase of the crystallite size, which can result in the higher photocatalytic activity of the TiO$_2$ sample.

**FESEM**

Figure 3 shows FESEM micrograph of the La,S-TiO$_2$ calcined at 550 °C. The grains are found to be uniform, with diameter from 20 to 25 nm, which indicates that the sample could have good dispersion in solution. The result was in accordance with the value determined by XRD (23 nm).

**DSR**

The results of UV–vis Diffuse Reflectance Spectra (DRS) analysis of the prepared samples are illustrated in Figure 4. The absorption spectrum of the La,S-TiO$_2$ sample showed a stronger absorption in visible light region and a red shift in the adsorption edge. The red shift may be ascribed to that the doped sulfur atoms could narrow the band gap of TiO$_2$ due to the hybridization of S3p with O2p from oxygen [28]. The doping of La could separate the electron–hole
pairs effectively and increase the response region of visible-light [29]. As a result, the synergism effect of rare earth metal ions and sulfur into TiO$_2$ could shift its optical absorption edge from UV into visible light range [30].

Photocatalytic activities

The photocatalytic activities of the prepared samples were measured for degradation of ecologically abundant dye Methyl Orange (MO) in water under visible-light irradiation. Figure 6 represents the percent of contamination degradation with pure TiO$_2$ and La,S-TiO$_2$. Compared to the pure TiO$_2$, the modified photocatalysts showed a significant increase in the MO photodegradation rates. On the other hands, the figure shows that La,S-TiO$_2$ is about 2 times more active than undoped TiO$_2$ during degradation of MO under visible light. This behavior could be explained by the effect of La and S as dopants. They can operate as electron traps, increasing the electron–hole separation and the subsequent transfer of the trapped electron to the adsorbed O$_2$ acting as an electron acceptor [33]. In addition, the doping causes a decrease in particle size and an increase in specific surface area of photocatalyst nanoparticles.

Moreover, photocatalytic reactions kinetics on photocatalyst can be expressed by the Langmuir–Hinshelwood (L–H) model [34]. When the initial concentration of dye is low enough (like in this work that the maximum dye concentration is only 10 ppm), the reaction rate can be expressed as follow [35]:

$$\ln\left(\frac{C_t}{C_0}\right) = -k_{app}t$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where $C_0$ and $C_t$ are dye concentrations at zero time and time $t$, respectively, and, $k_{app}$ is the
experimental photocatalytic pseudo-first order rate constant.

The kinetic curves for the degradation of the aqueous solution of the Methyl Orange (10 ppm) with TiO₂ (1g/l) and La,S-TiO₂ (1g/l) are shown in Figure 7. These follow pseudo first order kinetics as confirmed by the linear transform

\[ \ln\left(\frac{C_i}{C_0}\right) = -K_{app}t \]

The apparent rate constants calculated from the above curves were as follows:

With La,S/TiO₂, \( K_{app} = 0.0363 \) (min⁻¹) and \( R^2 = 0.964 \)

With TiO₂, \( K_{app} = 0.0061 \) (min⁻¹) and \( R^2 = 0.981 \)

![Fig. 7. Kinetic analysis with La,S TiO₂ and TiO₂](image)

CONCLUSIONS

The pure TiO₂ and La,S-TiO₂ nanoparticles were prepared by sol-gel method. The XRD pattern of La,S-TiO₂ and pure TiO₂ shows anatase the crystal size were about 36 and 23 nm, respectively. The FESEM photograph shows spherical particles with the average size of 23 nm. In contrast to undoped TiO₂, La,S-TiO₂ sample shows a strong absorption in the visible light region and a red shift in the adsorption edge. La,S-TiO₂ is about 2 times more active than undoped TiO₂ during degradation of MO under visible light.
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