A novel lightly doped drain and source Carbon nanotube field effect transistor (CNTFET) with negative differential resistance
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Abstract
In this paper, we propose and evaluate a novel design of a lightly doped drain and source carbon nanotube field effect transistor (LDDS-CNTFET) with a negative differential resistance (NDR) characteristic, called negative differential resistance LDDS-CNTFET (NDR-LDDS-CNTFET). The device was simulated by using a non equilibrium Green's function method. To achieve this phenomenon, we have created two quantum wells in the intrinsic channel by using two n-type regions. In the wells that are separated by a thin barrier, two resonance states are generated. On the other hand, the thickness of the barrier between the source and the well is variable depending on the energy level. Accordingly, with increasing gate-source voltage, the number of tunneling electrons and consequently drain-source current are varied. Furthermore, we have presented a structure with two n-type and three p-type regions in the channel that illustrates a larger NDR region. In this structure, the peak and valley of the drain-source current are shifted when compared with the previous structure. Finally, we investigated the effect of doping concentration on the NDR parameter.
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INTRODUCTION
In the recent years, negative differential resistance (NDR) devices, particularly resonant tunneling diode (RTD), have attracted considerable attention [1-3]. Some of the NDR applications are in voltage- controlled oscillator (VCO) [1, 2], RTD terahertz oscillators [2, 3], logic circuits, high frequency, and memory devices [4]. For example, recently tunneling diodes have attracted much interest in the implementation of low power oscillators, due to the inherent NDR characteristics achieved at a lower applied voltage [1]. In addition to RTD, researchers have devoted a lot of attention to achieve other devices with NDR characteristics. For example, a nitrogen-passivated zigzag graphene nano-ribbon field effect transistor structure has proposed that it shows an NDR phenomenon, which can be controlled by variation of the potential applied at the gate of the device [4]. A vertical tunneling field effect transistor using a stacked double bilayer graphene (BLG) and hexagonal boron nitride (HBN) heterostructure has presented, which shows two tunneling resonances with negative differential resistance [5]. The three-terminal λ-type NDR tunneling real-space transfer transistors (TRSTT) with InGaAs and a δ-doped GaAs dual-channel structure on a GaAs substrate has been demonstrated [6]. Structures with real-space transfer (RST) phenomena have been widely studied [7-9]. The negative differential resistance graphene nanoribbon superlattice field effect transistors (NDR-GNSL-FETs) have also been proposed [10]. As it can be observed, until the recent years, there is not much work in the field of NDR in the nano-transistors.

Previously, the structure of lightly doped drain and source CNTFET (LD-CNTFET) was proposed with a modification of the MOSCNT by utilizing lightly doped regions between the intrinsic channel and the highly doped source and drain regions. Numerical simulations illustrate that this device has superior characteristics when compared with MOSCNT and linearly doped CNTFET (LD-CNTFET) [11]. In this paper, we have proposed a novel
LDDS-CNTFET with negative differential resistance characteristic (NDR-LDDS-CNTFET) based on a special doping profile in the channel region.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

*Device Structure and Computational Method*

The 2D cross-sectional view of the proposed NDR-LDDS-CNTFET is illustrated in Fig. 1. The CNT is assumed (16, 0) with a radius of 0.63 nm. The gate dielectric layer is HfO$_2$ with thickness 2 nm and $\varepsilon_r=16$. The doping concentrations in the high source and drain regions and LDDS regions, which are defined as $N_1$ and $N_2$, are $2\times10^9$ m$^{-1}$ and $0.2\times10^9$ m$^{-1}$, respectively. We have divided the channel into five regions (1-5) with lengths of 2.2, 4, 2.6, 4, and 2.2 nm, respectively. Each region is associated with a specific concentration, as will be shown Tables 1 and 2.

The device has been simulated by self consistent solution of the Poisson and Schrodinger equations. The electrostatic potential of CNT is calculated by solving the Poisson equation in cylindrical coordinates. The carrier concentrations are calculated by solving the Schrodinger equation within the NEGF formalism [11-14]. The retarded Green’s function is calculated as [11-14]

$$G(E) = [EI - H - \Sigma_S - \Sigma_D]^{-1}$$

where $E$ is the energy, $I$ the identity matrix, $H$ the Hamiltonian of the CNT and $\Sigma_S$ and $\Sigma_D$ the self-energies of the source and drain, respectively. We calculate transmission at each energy point $E$ by [11-14],

$$T(E) = \text{tr} \{ \Gamma_S G \Gamma_D \}$$

where $\Gamma_S = i(\Sigma_S - \Sigma_S^T)$ and $\Gamma_D = i(\Sigma_D - \Sigma_D^T)$.

Finally, the current flow is given by

$$I = \frac{e}{2\pi} \int_{E_{FS}}^{E_{FD}} T(E) [f(E - E_{FS}) - f(E - E_{FD})]$$

where $f(E)$ is the Fermi distribution, and $E_{FS}$ and $E_{FD}$ are source and drain Fermi energies, respectively. The simulation has been done by MATLAB. In order to illustrate the accuracy of our simulation, we have simulated an LDDS-CNTFET with intrinsic channel, which represented in [11]. Fig. 2 shows the simulated $I_{DS}-V_{GS}$ characteristics of this transistor and compares it with the result in [11].

**Fig. 1: Schematic cross-section of the NDR-LDDS-CNTFET.**

**Fig. 2: The simulated IDS–VGS characteristics of the MOSCNT and compares it with the result in [11].**
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

At first, we assumed that the regions 1, 3, and 5 are intrinsic and the doping concentrations in regions 2 and 4 are defined according to Table 1. We calculated the $I_{DS}-V_{GS}$ characteristics for different types of the NDR-LDDS-CNTFET, as shown in Table 1, with the voltage bias $V_{DS}=0.4$ V. These results are illustrated in Fig. 3. This figure shows that the $I_{DS}$ decrease with increase in $V_{GS}$ for a distinct region, which implies NDR. We consider the parameter of NDR to be defined as $\Delta V/\Delta I$, where $\Delta I=I_{p}-I_{v}$, $\Delta V=V_{p}-V_{v}$, in which $I_{p}$, $I_{v}$, $V_{p}$, and $V_{v}$ are the peak of current, the valley of current, the peak of voltage, and the valley of voltage, respectively. In type 1 structure, for $V_{GS}$ lower than 0.025 V, the drain-source current increases with increase in $V_{GS}$. As $V_{GS}$ increases above 0.025 V, the $I_{DS}$ monotonously decrease. The $I_{DS}$ increases for the gate-source voltage more than 0.075 V. Thus, the proposed device exhibits the NDR characteristic over the range of $V_{GS}=0.025-0.075$ V. This figure also demonstrates that with decreasing n-type doping concentrations of regions 2 and 4, the peak and valley have shifted and increased.

The energy band diagram and color-scaled plot for the number of electrons are simulated for type 1 with $V_{GS}=-0.05$ V and $V_{DS}=0.4$ V, as shown in Fig. 4. This figure illustrates that the proposed channel doping profile creates the two potential wells in the conduction band that are separated by a thin potential barrier. Therefore, two resonance states are generated due to coupling between the ground-states of the left and right

![Image of Fig. 3: The IDS-VGS characteristics of NDR-LDDS-CNTFET, calculated for various types of devices of Table I, at VDS=0.4 V. The NDR region has been marked for NDR-LDDS-CNTFET of type 1.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n-type doping concentrations in regions 2 and 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDR-LDDS-CNTFET (type 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDR-LDDS-CNTFET (type 2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
wells [15]. The lowest-energy resonance state at $E_0$ and upper-energy resonance state at $E_1$ are also demonstrated in Fig. 4.

To investigate the NDR phenomenon, the energy band diagram and color-scaled plot for the number of electrons for type 1 with $V_{GS} = -0.05$ V, 0.025 V, 0.05 V, and 0.075 V are shown in Fig. 5. With increase in the gate voltage, $E_0$ and $E_1$ decrease. As observed in this comparison, in Fig. 5(b), the states are increasingly filled by tunneling electrons in comparison with (a). Also, Fig. 4(c) and (d) show that with biases of $V_{GS} = 0.05$ V and $V_{GS} = 0.075$ V, despite the increase in $V_{GS}$, the number of tunneling electrons in the lowest-energy state decreases. The reason for this phenomenon is the increase in region 1 barrier thickness for the lowest-energy state. The $I_{DS}$ increases for $V_{GS}$ more than about 0.075 V due to the approach of the channel potential barrier to the source Fermi level.

Furthermore, we presented the NDR-LDDS-CNTFET structure with the p-type doping in the regions 1, 3, and 5 according to Table 2. We simulated the $I_{DS}$-$V_{GS}$ characteristics of the structures of types 3 and 4 at a given $V_{DS}$ of 0.4 V as shown in Fig. 6.

In this figure, the peak and valley of type 3 are shifted when compared with type 2 of Fig. 3. To explain these effects, we have calculated the energy band diagram and color-scaled plot for the number of electrons for types 2 and 3 at $V_{DS} = 0.4$ V and $V_{GS} = 0.1$ V, which are illustrated in Fig. 7. As seen in this figure, in type 3 structure that has deep wells; two resonance states $E_0$ and $E_1$ are higher in comparison with that for type 2. This figure also shows that type 4 structure with the doping concentrations in the regions 1, 3, and 5 is higher than that of type 3, showing the shift and the reduction of the peak and valley in comparison with that in type 3.

![Fig. 4: The energy band diagram and color-scaled plot for the number of electrons per unit energy along the CNT axis for type 1 with $V_{GS} = -0.05$ V and $V_{DS} = 0.4$ V.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Doping concentrations in regions 1-5 of channel.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDR-LDDS-CNTFET (type 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDR-LDDS-CNTFET (type 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5: The energy band diagram and color-scaled plot for the number of electrons per unit energy along the CNT axis for NDR-LDDS-CNTFET (type 1) at (a) VGS= -0.05 V, (b) VGS=0.025 V, (c) VGS=0.05 V, (d) VGS=0.075 V. The drain-source bias for all four cases is 0.4 V.
Fig. 6: The IDS-VGS characteristics of NDR-LDDS-CNTFET calculated for various types of devices of Table II at VDS=0.4 V. The NDR region has been marked for NDR-LDDS-CNTFET of type 3.

Fig. 7: The energy band diagram and color-scaled plot for the number of electrons per unit energy along the CNT axis for NDR-LDDS-CNTFET of type 2 (a) and type 3 (b) at VGS=0.1 V. The drain-source bias for the two cases is 0.4 V.
CONCLUSIONS
A nonequilibrium Green’s function method has been used to simulate the proposed LDDS-CNTFET with an NDR characteristic, which is named NDR-LDDS-CNTFET. Type 1 device exhibited the NDR characteristic over the $V_{GS}$ range of about 0.025-0.075 V. Simulations demonstrate that with the decrease in the n-type doping concentrations of regions 2 and 4, the peak and valley shifted and increased. The simulation results of type 3 structure with two n-type and three p-type regions in the channel show that the NDR characteristic over the $V_{GS}$ range from about 0.2 to 0.275 V. It is obvious that type 3 has a larger NDR region and shifts the peak and valley of the drain-source current when compared with the type 2 structure. The effects of doping concentration on type 3 device characteristic were also investigated and discussed.
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