

Journal of Nuts

Journal homepage: ijnrs.damghan.iau.ir



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Occurrence of Root Gall Disease on Hazelnut (Corylus avellana L.) in Iran

Mahmoud Houshyarfard

Department of Plant Protection, Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Guilan, Rasht, AREEO, PO.BOX: 41635-3394, Iran

KEYWORDS

ABSTRACT

Agrobacterium Hazelnut (Corylus avellana L.), one of the world's major tree nut crops, is widely produced in Iran, tumefaciens; with an annual yield of more than 21,500 tons of hazelnuts. A survey of hazelnut orchards in Iran Corylus avellana; was conducted during 2021-2022, revealing the presence of root tumors on hazelnut trees in Guilan Hazelnut; (northern Iran), Mazandaran (northern Iran), Qazvin (northwestern Iran), and Qom (north-central Root gall Iran) provinces. Samples of these root tumors were collected for further analysis. Isolations from fresh galls on SNA, D1M, IA, LB, and SC culture media yielded predominant colonies similar to Agrobacterium, which were subsequently purified and characterized. All 29 strains isolated were Gram-negative, rod-shaped, obligate aerobic, motile, and tested positive for oxidase, catalase, arginine dihydrolase, and urease. However, they did not hydrolyze starch, gelatin, or esculin, but did hydrolyze Tween-80 and urea. They exhibited growth on 2% NaCl and at 35°C, and were able to produce 3-ketolactose but not indole, DNase, pectinase, levan, or reduce nitrate. Furthermore, 11 representative strains were randomly selected for PCR amplifications of T-DNA genes using specific primers At1/At2, F8360/F8361, and tms2F1/tms2R2, resulting in 338, 453, and 617 bp amplicons, respectively. In pathogenicity tests, bacterial strains were inoculated into tomato, hazelnut, sunflower seedlings, and carrot discs, leading to the formation of tumors on plant stems and callus on carrot discs. Based on phenotypic, physiological, and biochemical properties, pathogenicity tests, and molecular methods, the bacterium responsible for causing root gall in hazelnut trees was identified as A. tumefaciens biovar 1. This bacterial root gall appears to be widespread among various local hazelnut cultivars (Gerd-e-Eshkavar, Alamout, Tarom, etc.), with a higher prevalence in old hazelnut orchards with stony soils. This study represents the first report of root gall on hazelnut trees grown in Iran. It was observed that the number of sites where tumors have developed and the number of galls present in old hazelnut orchards with stony soils are higher.

Introduction

Hazelnut (*Corylus avellana* L.), is the common name for the flowering plant genus *Corylus*, which is usually classified in the Betulaceae family. However, some botanists consider it to be a distict family, Corylaceae (Cotini *et al.*, 2011). Hazelnut is a tree that is deciduous and native to Europe and Asia, where it is commonly seen as an understory species in mixed forests. Hazelnut is the fifth most important

*Corresponding author: Email address: mhoushiarfard@yahoo.com

Received: 10 November 2023; Received in revised form: 15 December 2023; Accepted: 28 January 2024 DOI: 10.22034/jon.2024.2001073.1256

tree nut in the world, with a total cultivated area of about 1,027,000 ha and a global production of 1.1 million metric tons (FAO 2020). Iran, with about 25,500 ha of hazelnut growing area and annual production of about 21,550 tons is the seventh largest hazelnut producer in the world. Guilan (Northern Iran), Mazandaran (Northern Iran, 1,400 ha), Qazvin (Northwestern Iran, 3,000 ha), Ardabil (Northeastern Iran, 315 ha), Zanjan (Northwestern Iran, 400 ha), and Qom (north-central Iran, 170 ha) provinces are five regions where hazelnut are grown in Iran (Salimi and Hoseinova, 2012). Eshkevarat region (including Roudsar, Amlash, and Syahkal counties, Guilan province) with high rainfall and relative humidity and 73% of Iran's total hazelnut production is the major producer of the country producing about 15,300 tons and occupying an area of about 16,000 hectares.

Anomalies caused by biotic and abiotic (water stress and salinity) factors may be limiting factors for the growth and development of nut trees such as in hazelnut production areas in the Guilan province (Arab et al., 2020; Houshyarfard 2020; Akca and Sahin, 2022; Vahdati et al., 2023). The expanding trend of establishing hazelnut orchard, and hazelnut growing in sloping lands, and renovating traditional hazelnut orchards in Guilan province made the need for research on the limiting factors of hazelnut production, including fungi, bacteria, and root nematodes. Die-back, slow growth, loss of vigor, decline of the hazelnut trees, and reduction of yield can be attributed to the galls on the hazelnut roots(Houshyarfard, unpublished data). Agrobacterium tumefaciens, that affect the hazelnut (C. avellana) crown and roots has been reported (Reed et al., 1998; Pscheidt and Stone 2001; Guerrero et al. 2012; Sheikh Beig Goharrizi et al., 2016). It was declared that, the main cause of the spread is primarily due to the commercialization of diseased plants and cutting jobs as manual clearings of weeds and branches (Figs. 1 & 2).



Fig. 1. Aerial symptoms of a hazelnut trees with root gall infection including chlorosis, loss of vigour, die-back, and decline due to obstruction of water and nutrients transport.



Fig. 2. Galls on the roots of hazelnuts from Guilan province, root galls frequently appear along the roots and near the soil line. Galls could consist of irregular phloem tissue or deformed cells.

The family *Rhizobiaceae* (order *Rhizobiales*) of the *Alphaproteobacteria* containsbacteria that

areassociated with plants and have significant rolesin both ecology and agriculture. Many strains that are designated as Agrobacterium are plant pathogens within Rhizobiaceae. Agrobacterium tumefaciens is a Gram-negative bacterium. A. tumefaciens causes crown gall on dicotyledonous plants, such as nut trees and, stone fruit; Agrobacterium strains are categorized into three biovars based on their physiological and biochemical properties. Research has revealed that after the introduction of the bacteria into the plant tissue and its T-DNA incorporation into the plant genome, there is no longer a need for the presence of bacterial cells to develop and grow gall (Fortin et al., 1983; Agrios, 1988; Webster et al., 1988). There are very few publications about Agrobacterium on hazelnut. Also, there is no accurate information about the impact and causal agent of root gall-like disorder on hazelnut, as well as its extent of distribution and damage in the world.

To know phytosanitary aspects of the hazelnut commercial orchards according to different regions and climatic conditions is a basic and fundamental aspect in decision taking in a context of phythosanitary integrated plant management.

Materials and Methods

Sampling regions

Guilan (northern of Iran) is the site implementation and the hazelnut orchards of Mazandaran (northern Iran), Qazvin (northwest of Iran), and Ardabil (northwest of Iran) provinces, in addition to Guilan, are only considered as hazelnut root gall sampling regions. During 2021-2022, the hazelnut orchards of Guilan, Qazvin, Mazandaran, Zanjan, Ardabil, and Qom provinces were surveyed and the roots with gall (tumor) symptoms were collected. Samples were immediately transferred to the laboratory.

Determining the percentage of infected trees

To determine the percentage of orchards and/or hazelnut trees with root galls, we move along the width of the infected orchards and randomly select 30 trees and examine their root system for the presence or absence of symptoms of gall-like disorders on the roots. The number of trees with galls (tumors) on the their roots and aerial symptoms such as decline and die-back are recorded and marked. Then the infected trees (%) in each orchard is calculated from the relation:

Infected trees = $\frac{\text{Number of infected trees}}{\text{Total number of trees}} \times 100$

Laboratory studies

Plant samples

Root gall samples were collected from hazelnut orchards in Guilan, Mazandaran, Qazvin, and Qom provinces (Table 1). At least five samples of roots with galls are collected and placed in plastic bags. After recording their characteristics, they are transferred to the laboratory. The samples, galls of samples were stored in a refrigerator to reduce contamination until gall extraction. The galls were cut out from the roots with sharp knives dipped in 70% ethanol, and placed in sterile containers containing sterilized distilled water (SDW) (Moore, 1988).

Gall extraction

Samples were rinsed with tap water to remove soil and hazardous materials. Galls were surface sterilized with 2% NaOCl for 30 min by soaking the galls. After washing galls with SDW three times, They were finely chopped, immersed in distilled water, and incubated for an overnight period at room temperature.

Isolation and purification of Agrobacterium isolates from root and sap

Some of the prepared extracts were added to the selective and differential culture media plates including of Nutrient agar + 1% Yeast extract (YNA, Sigma-Aldrich), sucrose nutrient agar (SNA, Merck) D1M agar contained (per liter) 5 g of cellobiose, 3 g of K_2 HPO₄, 1 g of NaH₂PO₄, 1 g of NH₄Cl, 0.3 g of

MgSO₄·7H₂O, 10 mg of malachite green, and 15 g of agar, LB IA (lysogeny broth, alternatively known as Luria-Bertani media or Luria Broth, ibresco co.), SC agar (synthetic complete agar, Merck), YMA (yeast mannitol agar, Fluka), and MacConkey agar (Sigma-Aldrich) (Moore *et al.*, 1988; Moore, 1989; Schaad *et al.*, 2001). The culture plates are incubated at 25– 28°C for 3-7 days. Pure cultures were obtained by adopting subculture method. The purified cells were maintained on YMA that contained 0.5% CaCO₃ at 4°C.

Pathogenicity test

Tumor forming ability of the 29 Agrobacterium isolates was tested on seedlings of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum L.), hazelnut (C. avellana), and sunflower (Helianthus annus L.) plants under conditions greenhouse using stem-wound inoculation method (Ridé et al., 2001; Mafakheri et al., 2019). First, the inoculation site was disinfected with 96% ethyl alchol, and then with a strile scalpel, a wound of 1-2 mm depth was created in the stem of test plants. A fresh bacterial culture was placed on the wound, then wet cotton and parafilm were used to cover the area. The control plants were also inoculated with SDW (- control), and a standard strain of A. tumefaciens (+ control) (Fahy and Peresley, 1983; Schaad, 1988). The inoculated plants were examined for the appearance of young galls (tumors) after 21-28 days. No symptoms developed on plants with negative control (- control). The bacteria were re-isolated from inoculated plants.

Carrot disc test

Pathogenicity of the strains was tested on sterilized 5 mm carrot (*Daucus carota*) root discs, by needle inoculation of 100 µl bacterial suspensions ca. 1×10^9 CFU ml⁻¹ in sterile petri plates (Ridé *et al.*, 2001; Gasky *et al.*, 1980). After 1-2 weeks of incubation at $27\pm1^\circ$ C temperature and dark conditions, the carrot discs are checked for the appearance of microtumors (MTs). The Agrobacterium strains were demonstrated to be virulent by producing MTs in the center of carrot pieces.

Identification of bacterial strain(s)

Morphological, physiological and biochemical tests

The bacterial strains were identified using appearance characteristics, phenotype, biochemical, physiological tests, and PCR analyses (Schaad *et al.*, 2001; Moore *et al.*, 1988; Bernaerts and de Ley, 1963).

PCR tests using specific primers

Representative bacterial strains whose pathogenicity was confirmed on test plants such as tomato seedlings and carrot discs, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were used to proving the genus Agrobacterium and presence of Tumor inducing plasmid (Ti plasmid). The evaluation of the T-DNA gene fragment amplifications was conducted through PCR method using the specific primers At1/At2 (Pulawska and sobiczewski, 2005; Hass et al., 1995), F8360/F 8361 (Shams et al., 2013), and tms2F1/tms2R2 (Mafakheri et al., 2019) that amplify DNA fragments of 338 bp, 453 bp and, 617 bp, respectively. The reference strain A. tumefaciens and sterile distilled water were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. At the end, the agarose gel was stained with DNA Green Safe Stain for 30 minutes, and it was evaluated in the gel documentation device (Bio Rad Co.) under UV light with a wavelength of 364 nm (Cubero et al. 1999; Mazzola et al., 1992). In each set of electrophoresis, along with the main samples and + control and control, a DNA marker with a molecular weight of 100 bp was used. All bands at positive control level were deemed to be positive treatments.

Results

Distribution of root gall disease

In this study, we attempted to isolate *A*. *tumefaciens* strains from the root galls of hazelnut trees. In the summer of 2021 and 2022, nearly 5-20% of >15-year-old hazelnut trees (C. avellana) in 47 commercial orchards in four regions of Iran, namely Guilan, Qazvin, Mazandaran, and Qom were observed with root gall symptoms (Table 1). Galls, or tumors, were frequently found on the roots of trees at or just below the soil surface. The disease caused by root gall disease affected the majority of hazelnut local cultivars (Gerd-e-Eshkavar, Tarom, Alamut, Zar Abadi, etc.) in the surveyed areas, and no differences were observed among different hazelnut local cultivars. To our knowledge, Guilan (Eshkevarat) is where the hazelnut cuttings in all orchards came from.It's possible that, the pathogen was introduced into hazelnut growing areas of Iran with the cuttings. In Guilan, hazelnut orchards in Roudsar (15-20%), Amlash (10%), and Syahkal (4%) had higher root gall incidences, respectively, compared to all other regions. .Galls are most frequently found on the hazelnut roots. Hazelnut root galls are scattered along the entire length of the roots in different sizes and shapes. At first, the galls appear light-colored and spongy. As the galls mature, they turn dark brown and woody. Older trees and orchards with a more stony soil texture had a higher incidence of hazelnut root galls. The size of root galls increased from the end of the root towards the soil surface, and had woodier textures.

Isolation of causal agent of root gall

In the present research, the causal *Agrobacterium* strains were isolated from a number of galls. The causal *Agrobacterium* strain was not isolated in several root gall samples, so it seems that they did not contain the bacterium.Twenty-nine *Agrobacterium* strains were isolated on SNA, IA, LB, and SC culture media and pathogenicity tests were performed.

Pathogenicity of bacterial strains

The results of this research revealed Agrobacterium strains were different in their

pathogenicity on two week old tomato plants, and carrot root discs. So, some of the bacterial strains produced tumors only on tomato and/or on hazelnuts and/or tomato or sunflower plants. (Fig. 3).

Biochemical and phenotypic characteristics of Agrobacterium strains

The isolated strains were confirmed as Agrobacterium using morphological, biochemical, physiological analyses; and pathogenicity tests. All pathogenic Agrobacterium strains were Gram (-ve), rod shape, obligate aerobic, motile, oxidase, catalase, arginine dihydrolase, and urease positive. Strains didn't hydrolyze starch, gelatin and esculin but hydrolyzed Tween-80 and urea. They grew on 2% NaCl and at 35°C, and were able to produce 3ketolactose. None were capable of producing a fluorescent pigment on King's B medium nor were able to reduce nitrate, and produce indole, levan, H₂S from cysteine and peptone. Strains didn't use citrate, L-lysine, or glucose in anaerobic conditions. Although, sometimes Agrobacterium strains reacted differently to a specific antibiotic, the antibiotics Kanamycin, Gentamicin, and Streptomycin remained effective in preventing bacterial growth.

Molecular identification

Electrophoresis of PCR products using two pairs of specific primers showed that, these primers were able to amplify fragments of 453 bp and 617 bp. The amplification of 453 bp DNA fragment using primer pair F8360/F8361, confirming them as *Agrobacterium* sp. (Shams *et al.*, 2013). Also, amplification of 617 bp DNA fragment in 6 bacterial strains using the primer pairs tms2F1/tms2R2 revealed these strains were carrying the Ti-plasmid. The bands formed on the gel completely corresponded to the bands of the positive control (standard strain of *A. tumefaciens*) (Fig. 4).

Province	Sampling site (infected orchard)	Hazelnut region (District)	Infected tree (galls on the roots)
Guilan	 Kojid,Estakhr Sar, Dimajan Kesh, Somam, Garmabsar, Taresh, Shooleh, Matla Kooh Lima Govabar, Sajiran, Niloo, Kakrood, Jir Kol, Tiola, Lasboo, Sharm Dasht Pirkooh 	Eshkavarat: 1)Amlash 2)Rudsar 3)Siahkal	+ + +
Qazvin	Hir, Talator, Viar, Por Rud, Akoo Jan, Yarud Zer, Abod, Zeuer Decht	Alamut-e Gharbi (Rudbar-e Shahrestan) Alamut	+ +
Mazandaran	Do Hezar	Tonekabon Ramsar	+
Zanjan	Shirmisheh	Tarom county (Chavarzaq)	-
Ardabil	Fandoghloo	Fandoghloo jungle	_
Qom	Veshnaveh Ghahan	Kahak Khalajestan	+ +





Fig. 3. Pathogenicity tests. Formation of galls on tomato stem three weeks after inoculation (left); Formation of callus on carrot disc (right) as a result of inoculation with root gall Agrobacterium strain.



Fig. 4. Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products. Electrophoresis of PCR products of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* with specific primers At1 and At2; M, 100 bp DNA marker; Lane 7 is positive control (standard strain *A. tumefaciens*) showing the amplification of 318-bp DNA fragment in length; Lanes 1 to 6, strains of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* isolated from hazelnut (up-left). Electrophoresis of PCR products of *Agrobacterium* sp. with primer pair F8360/F8361; M, 100 bp DNA marker; Lane C1 is negative control (distilled water); Lane C2 is positive control (standard strain *A. tumefaciens*) showing the amplification of 453-bp DNA fragment in length; Lane 1 to 11, strains of *Agrobacterium* sp. isolated from hazelnut (up-right); Electrophoresis of PCR products of *A. tumefaciens* with primer pair tms2F1/tms2F1; M, 100 bp DNA marker; Lane 1 are negative controls (distilled water); Lanes 2 and 14 are positive controls (standard strain *A. tumefaciens*) showing the amplification of the DNA fragment approximately 617-bp in length; Lanes 3 to 13, strains of *A. tumefaciens* were carrying the Ti-Plasmid, isolated from hazelnut (down).

Discussion

During a survey of the hazelnut orchards in Guilan, Qazvin and Mazandaran provinces, it was found that hazelnut trees had gall-like disorders on their roots that were 1-15 cm in diameter. These galls were almost spherical, and initially soft, and fleshy, and the large galls became dry and wooden. Large numbers of small galls appeared on the ends of the roots.

Orchardists reported that the yield of hazelnuts was somewhat lower than before. Infected trees didn't appear relatively normal. Low growth, yield reduction, die-back, chlorosis and general weakness and etc. are symptoms of root infection that it's not easily distinguishablefrom other pathogenic factors, like root rot pathogens. Freshness of tumors, presence of Agrobacterium in the gall, concentration of Agrobacterium in the root tissue, and synthetic culture media are factors that influenced the success of isolations (Sigee, 1993).

Within 4-7 days after culturing of root gall extract on 1A culture medium, A. tumefaciens (biovar 1) colonies grew well with a white border, and brown center. The use of 1A culture medium was introduced by Schaad et al. (2001) to isolate and diagnose A. tumefaciens (biovar 1) rapidly. Also, the predominant bacterial colonies similar to Agrobacterium on sucrose nutrient agar (SNA) and D1M plates were isolated 2-3 days after incubation at 25-28 °C. The D1 culture medium has been introduced as a selective culture medium for differentiating Agrobacterium from Acidovorax, Burkholderia, and Ralstonia (Kado and Heskett, 1970; Schaad 2001), usually A. tumefaciens bacterium grow as round and convex colonies with a metallic green color on this medium. Additionally, other bacteria with similar characteristics grew on the D1 medium as well. Therefore, it is not possible to distinguish A. tumefaciens based only on the color of the colony on this culture medium. The A. tumefacience Biovar I could be identified by using selective culture media LB, 1A, and SC (Moore et al., 2001). All the bacterial

strains made Litmus milk to alkaline, but the color change and formation of sediment by the strains in this test were different. This indicated that *Agrobaterium* strains were not homogeneous and differed in various tests.

The results of morphological, biochemical, and nutritional tests on Agrobacterium strains, obtained from hazelnut trees, were confirmed using PCR method, and specific primers. PCR primer pair F8360/F8361 amplifying a 453 bp DNA fragment in the recA gene and confirmed as Agrobacterium sp. (Shams et al., 2013). The PCR test yielded results that were comparable to those obtained using common and traditional methods, including culture isolation, biochemical, and physiological characteristics.. It was confirmed that, the Agrobacterium (Rhizobium) radiobacter (Agrobacterium tumefaciens). biovar 1 associated with root gall disease in hazelnut growing areas of Iran. The isolation and characterization of A. tumefaciens biovar 1 strains from root gall of hazelnut have not been carried out worldwide. The A. tumefaciens biovar 1, causal agent of root bacterial gall disease of hazelnut (C. avellana), hasn't been previously reported from Iran. This appears to be the first report on the natural occurrence of root gall disease on hazelnuts and the first record of a hazelnut disease caused by A.tumefaciens biovar 1.

The A.tumefaciens biovar 1 has a wide host range and produces galls in several plants (Thamashow, et al., 1980; Loper and Kado, 1979). The A. tumefaciens biovar 1 was introduced as the causal agent of bacterial root and crown gall on cherry, plum, peach and nectarine trees (Marefat, 2000). A. tumefaciens biovar 1 and A. vitis were identified as the causal agent of grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) gall in Qazvin province (Salehi et al. 2004).A. tumefaciens host range encompasses 650 species from 90 plant families included herbaceous and dicotyledonous plants (Snare, 2006; Furuya et al., 2004; Niknejad, 2002; Ogawa et al., 1995; Tamashow et al., 1980; Loper and Kado, 1979). s. The pathogen name has been under dispute for decades, and the pathogen traditionally known to cause crown gall in most plants is *A. tumefaciens* (*Rhizobium radiobacter*) (Flores-Flix *et al.*, 2020; Young *et al.*, 2003). It is known that *A. tumefaciens* is a diverse group of 11 different genomospecies.

All of the A. tumefaciens strains were positive for tumor-forming ability in pathogenicity tests on carrot discs. It is well-known that the pTi plasmid, which contains vir genes, is responsible for the pathogenicity of virulent A. tumerfaciens. (Gohlke et al., 2014). This result showed that one or two plants should not be sufficient to prove the virulence of A. tumefaciens isolates. In the past, the sucker emissions were considered of economic value as they were functional to the gradual renewal of the hazelnut orchards and they allowed on-farm supply self-rooted plants to be used to establish new hazelnut orchards (Koksal et al., 2008; Cristofori et al., 2014; Rovira et al., 2014). Isolation of the A. tumefaciens from the vascular sap of hazelnut roots with gall symptoms showed that the vascular system of the hazelnut contains bacterial cell, which can also infect the suckers. It was assumed that the suckers of hazelnut trees that are used to propagate the plants, from the hazelnut trees affected by root gall disease are systemically infected to A. tumefaciens. It is important to consider systematic infections in several cultivated hazelnut trees when designing strategies for controlling root gall disease.. Therefore, one should avoidance from preparing seedlings and/or cuttings of infected hazelnut trees to root gall for establishing new hazelnut orchards.

Wounds are the primary location of *A. tumefaciens* entry into the host plant. Wounds are caused by the emergence of lateral roots, frost injury, insect and nematode feeding(Agrios 2005; Creasap *et al.*, 2005). In recent years, root-chewing insects such as *Melolontha melolontha* L. (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae) is among the pest insects damaging hazelnut (Froschle 1994; Luisa and Mauro 1996; Vlug 1996; Sezen 2004). The pest's larvae of various ages settle and consume nutrients from host plants' roots in the soil., which may lead to the cutting of a part of the root, general weakness, and ultimately the death of the hazelnut tree. *M. melolontha* is a pest that has the potential to play a more significant role in the root gall disease complex. Several factors including Ti plasmid, bacterial chromosomal background and host genetic diversity are involved in gall disease occurrence (Zhu *et al.*, 2000; Knauf *et al.*, 1982; Perry and Kado, 1982). *Agrobacterium* enters the roots from the wound site due to damage to the root colonizes the wound, attaches firmly to damaged plant cells, and transfers part of its DNA into the DNA of the plant cells (De Costa *et al.*, 2001). In the later growing season, latent infections often develop into galls. Pathogenic

A. tumefaciens can be shed from the gall into the surrounding soil or water where they colonize or infect new plant tissues (De Costa *et al.*, 2001).

Conclusions

In recent years, the cultivation of hazelnut (Corylus avellana) has expanded in several areas of Iran. The A. tumefaciens biovar 1 was identified and characterized through the use of multiple morphological, physiological, biochemical, and phytopathogenic tests, as well as molecular analysis. A. tumefaciens-induced bacterial disease poses a significant threat to hazelnut farmers. To clarify the economic impact of A. tumefaciens on the hazelnut industry throughout the country, further surveys and samplings are needed. Hazelnut root gall disease, caused by A. tumefaciens, is easy to identify based on symptomology alone. The control of root gall disease is through preventive actions especially the selection of plants free of galls. Integrated management measures for bacterial plant pathogens include resistant cultivars, bacteria-free propagation materials, preventing wounds that permit the entrance of bacteria into the inner tissues, and propagating only bacteria-free nursery stock. We found the role of systemic A. tumefaciens populations in root gall incidence on the hazelnut seedlings

obtained from infected hazelnut trees. Probably, infected plant suckers from infected trees and/or soil and humidity play an important role in the transmission of pathogen causing the root gall throughout the hazelnut production regions. Systemic movement of *A. tumefaciens* in symptomless sucker and/or cutting tissue of hazelnut is a complex phenomenon. PCR was the most efficient technique for detecting the movement of *Agrobacterium* spp. within the plants.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported financially by the Iranian Plant Protection Research Institute. Special thanks also go to Dr. Javadi at the Iranian Horticultural Science Research Institute and Dr. Kazempour for their scientific suggestions.

Conflict of interests

The author declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Agrios GN, 2005. Plant pathology (5th ed.). Amsterdam: Elsevier Academic Press. ISBN: 9780120445653. OCLC 55488155
- Akca Y, Sahin U (2022) Responses of 'Chandler' walnut variety grafted onto different rootstocks to salt stress. International Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology. 9, 1-13.
- Arab MM, Marrano A, Abdollahi-Arpanahi R, Leslie CA, Cheng H, Neale DB, Vahdati K. (2020).
 Combining phenotype, genotype, and environment to uncover genetic components underlying water use efficiency in Persian walnut. Journal of Experimental Botany. 71(3), 1107-1127.
- Burr TJ, Otten L (1999) Crown gall of grapevine: biology and disease management. Annual Review of Phytopathology. 37, 9004.
- Contini M, Frangipane MT, Massantini R (2011) Nuts and seeds in health and disease prevention;

Chapter 72, Antioxidants in hazelnuts (*Corylus avellana* L.). pp. 611-625.

- Creasap JE, Reid, CL, Goffinet, MC, Aloni R, Ullrich C, Burr TJ (2005) Effect of wound position, auxin, and Agrobacterium vitis strain F2/5 on wound healing and crown gall in grapevine. Phytopathology. 95, 362-367.
- Cristofori V, Bizzarri S, Silvestri C, Muleo R, Rugini E, De Salvador FR (2014) First Evaluations on Vegetative and Productive Performance of Many Hazelnut Cultivars in Latium Region. Acta Horticulture. 1052, 91–97.
- Cubero J, Martinez MC, Llop P, Lopez MM (1999) A simple and efficient PCR method for the detection of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* in plant tumors. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 86, 591–602.
- D'Arcy CJ, Eastburn DM (2003) Crown gall. Plants, Pathogens, and People website. Includes animation in disease cycle. http://www .ppp.uiuc.edu.
- De Costa DM, Suzuki K, Satou M, Yoshido K (2001) Genome analysis of Agrobacterium tumefaciens: linkage map and genetic of the left region of the linear chromosome. Genes and Genetic Systems. 76, 363-371.
- Fahy PC, Persley GJ (1983). Plant Bacterial Diseases:A Diagnostic Guide. Academic Press. Australia. 378p.
- FAO. World Food and Agriculture-Statistical Yearbook; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2020; p. 366.
- Flores-Flix JD, Menendez E, Peix A, Garcia-Fraile P, Velazquez E (2020) History and current taxonomic status of genus Agrobacterium. Systematic and Applied Microbiology. 43(1),126046.
- Froschle MV (1994) The common cockchafer (*Melolontha melolontha* L.) has to be taken seriously again in Baden-Wurttemberg. Pflanzenschutzdienstes 46, 6-9.
- Furuya N, Shimokusuzono F, Nakamura Y, Takeshita KNM, Matsuyama N, Takanami KMY (2004)

Crown gall of tobacco caused by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* biovar 1 in tobacco fields. Journal of General Plant Pathology. 70, 39-44.

- Galsky AB, Wilsey JP, Powell RG (1980) Crown-gall tumor disc bioassay: a possible aid in the detection of compounds with antitumor activity. Plant Physiology. 65,184-185.
- Gohlke J, Deeken R (2014) Plant Responses to Agrobacterium Tumefaciens and Crown Gall Development. Frontiers in Plant Science. 5,155.
- Guerrero J, Pérez S, Ferrada E, Bensch E (2014) Phytopathogens of Hazelnut (*Corylus avellana*) in Southern Chile. VIII Congreso Internacional de Avellano Europeo. 19-21 abril 2012. Temuco. Chile.
- Hass JH, Moore LW, Ream W, Manulis S (1995)
 Universal PCR primers for detection of phytopathogenic Agrobacterium strains.
 Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 61, 2879-2884.
- Houshyarfard M (2020). Survey on Etiology and Distribution of Dieback / Decline of Hazelnuts (*Corylus avellana* L.) in Northern Iran. Journal of Nuts. 11(3), 245-256.
- Islam MS, Munsina Akter M, Atikur Rahman M, Mostafizur Rahman M, Mauluda Akhtar M, Firoz Alam M (2010) Isolation of Agrobacterium tumefaciens Strains from Crown Gall Sample of Dicot Plants in Bangladesh.Current Research in Bacteriology. 3(1), 27-36.
- Jones DA, Raju BC (1988) Systemic movement of Agrobacterium tumefaciens in symptomless stem tissue of plants. Plant Disease. 72, 51-54.
- Kado CI (2002) Crown gall tumors. Pages 1-3. in: Encyclopedia of Genetics. S. Brenner and J. H. Miller, eds. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Knauf VC, Panagopoulos CG, Nester EW (1982)

Genetic factors controlling the host range of Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Phytopathology. 72, 1545-1549.

- Koksal I, Gunes NT, Solar A (2008) Descriptors for Hazelnut (*Corylus avellana* L.). In Bioversity International; FAO: Rome, Italy.
- Loper JE, Kado CI (1979) Host range conferred by virulence-specifying plasmid of Agrobaterium tumefaciens. Journal of Bacteriology. 139, 591-596.
- Luisa M, Mauro V (1996) Presence and diffusion of the common cockchafer (Melolontha melolontha L.) in the areas of Mezzocorona and San Michele a/A in Trento Province. Bulletin OILB SROP. 19, 15-20.Mafakheri H, Taghavi SM, Pulawska J, de lajudie P, Lasalle F, Osdaghi E (2019) Two Novel Genomospecies in the Agrobacterium tumefaciens Species Complex Associated with Rose Crown Gall. Phytopathology. 109(11), 1859.
- Mancini G, Moretti F, Palenzona M (1975) Gracilacus audriellus Brown: possibile agente del "seccume" del nocciolo "Gentile delle Langhe". Redia 56, 447-454.
- Marefat AR (2000) Bacterial root and crown gall in Moghan orchards. Proc. 14 th. Iran. Plant Protec. Cong., Isfahan University of Technology. pp. 136.
- Matthysse AG (1986) Initial interactions of Agrobacterium tumefaciense with plant host cells. Critical Reviews in Microbiology. 13(3), 281-307.
- Mazzola M, Cook RJ, Thomashaw LS, Weller DM, Pierson LS (1992) Contribution of phenazine antibiotic biosynthesis to the ecological competence of fluorescent pseudomonads in soil habitats. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 58, 2616-2624.
- Moore LW (1988) Use of *Agrobacterium radiobacter* in agricultural ecosystems. Microbiological Sciences. 5(3), 92-95.

- Moore LW, Kado CI, Bouzar H (1988) Agrobacterium. In: Schaad NW, ed. Laboratory Guide for Identification of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA: APS Press.
- Moore LW, Bouzar H, Burr TJ (2001) Agrobacterium. In: Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. ed. N.W. Schaad, J.B. Jones and W. Chun pp. 17–34. St Paul, MN: APS Press.
- Niknejad M (2002) Plant disease management. Tehran, Iran, Agricultural Sciences Publication 280p. [In Persian].
- Pscheidt JW, Stone J (2001) Diseases of European Hazelnut (*Corylus avellana* L.) Hazelnut (Corylus spp.).

https://www.apsnet.org/edcenter/resources/co mmonnames/Pages/Hazelnut.aspx

- Pulawska J, Sobiczewski P (2005) Development of semi-nested PCR based method for sensitive detection of tumorigenic Agrobacterium in soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 98, 710-721.
- Reed BM, Jessica Mentzer J, Tanprasert P, Xiaoling Yu X (1998) Internal bacterial contamination of micropropogated hazelnut: identification and aotibiotic treatment. Plant Cell.tissue and Organ Culture. 52, 67-70.
- Ride M, Ride S, Pett A, Bollet C, Desaux Y, Gardan L (2000) Chracterization of plasmid-borne and chromosome-encoded traits of Agrobacterium biovar 1, 2 and 3 strains from france. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 66, 1818-1825.
- Rovira M, Cristofori V, Silvestri C, Celli T, Hermoso JF, Tous J, Romero A (2014) Last results in the evaluation of 'Negret' hazelnut cultivar grafted on non-suckering rootstocks in Spain. Acta Horticulture. 1052, 145–150.
- Salehi S, ghasemie A, Rhimian H, Emamie M, Nohi A (2004) Agrobacterium tumefaciens causal agent of grapevine gall in Qazvin province. Proc. 16 th. Iranian Plant Protection Congress.

Tabriz University. p. 357.

- Schaad NW (1988) Laboratory Guide for Identification of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria.
 2nd. Ed. Amer. Phytopathol. Soc. St. Paul, MN. Press U.S.A. pp.164
- Schaad NW, Jones JB, Chun W (2001) Laboratory Guide for Identification of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria. 3th. ed. APS Press. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA. pp.373
- Shams M,Vial L, Chapulliot D, Nesme X, Lavire C (2013) Rapid and accurate species and genomic species identification and exhaustive population diversity assessment of Agrobacterium spp. using recA-based PCR. Systematic and Applied Microbiology. 36, 351–358.
- Sheikh Beig Goharrizi MA, Dejahang A, Tohidfar M, Izadi Darbandi A, Carillo N, Hajirezaei MR and Vahdati K (2016) Agrobacterium mediated transformation of somatic embryos of Persian walnut using fld gene for osmotic stress tolerance. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. 18, 423-435.
- Sigee DC (1993) Bacterial Plant Pathology: Cell and Molecular Aspects. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO978051152547 6.
- Snare L. 2006. Pest and disease analysis in hazelnuts. Project Number: NT05002. Published by Horticultural Australia Ltd.
- Thamashow MF, Panagopoulus CG, Gordon MP, Nester EW (1980) Host range of Agrobacterium tumefaciens is determined by the Ti plasmid. Nature. 283, 794-796.
- Vahdati K, Sheikhi A, Arab MM, Sarikhani S, Habibi A, Ataee H (2023) Cultivars and Genetic Improvement. In: Mir, MM, Rehman, MU, Iqbal, U, Mir, SA (Eds.) Temperate Nuts. Springer, Singapore.
- Vlug HJ (1996) Occurrence and biocontrol of grass grubs, especially of *M. melolontha*. Bulletin

OILB SROP 19, 35-36.

- Young JM, Kuykendall LD, Martinez-romero E, Kerr A, Sawada H (2003) Classification and nomenclature of Agrobacterium and Rhizobium. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology. 53(5), 1689-1695.
- Zhu J, Oger PM, Schrammeijer B, Hooykass PJ, Farrand SK, Winans SC (2000) The basis of crown gall tumorigenesis. Journal of Bacteriology. 182, 3885-3895.