International Journal of Mathematical Modelling & Computations Vol. 10, No. 01, Winter 2020, 77- 94



Permanence and Uniformly Asymptotic Stability of Almost Periodic Positive Solutions for a Dynamic Commensalism Model on Time Scales

K. R. Prasad^a, M. Khuddush^{a,*} and K. V. Vidyasagar^{a,b}

 ^aDepartment of Applied Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India-530003,
 ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Government Degree College for Women, Marripalem, Koyyuru Mandal, Visakhapatnam, India-531116.

Abstract. In this paper, we study dynamic commensalism model with nonmonotic functional response, density dependent birth rates on time scales and derive sufficient conditions for the permanence. We also establish the existence and uniform asymptotic stability of unique almost periodic positive solution of the model by using Lyapunov functional method.

Received: 15 December 2019, Revised: 10 February 2020, Accepted: 02 March 2020.

 ${\bf Keywords:}$ Time scales; Commensalism model; Almost periodic solution; Uniform asymptotic stability.

AMS Subject Classification: 92D30, 34N05.

Index to information contained in this paper

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Permanence
- 4 Positive almost periodic solution
- 5 Numerical simulations

1. Introduction

Ecology relates to the study of living beings in connection to their living styles. Research in the area of theoretical ecology was first studied by Volterra [29] and Lotka [23]. Later many ecologists and mathematicians contributed to the growth of this area of knowledge as reported in [3, 7, 12, 24, 25] and references therein.

© 2020 IAUCTB http://ijm2c.iauctb.ac.ir

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: khuddush89@gmail.com

The ecological interactions can be broadly classified as prey-predator, competition, commensalism, ammensalism, and neutralism etc.

A two species Commensalisms is an ecological connection between two species where one species X gain benefits while those of the other species Y neither benfit nor harmed. Here, X may referred as the commensal species while Y the host. Some examples are Cattle Egret, Anemonetish and Barnacles etc. The host species Y supports the commensal species X which has a natural growth rate in spite of a support other than from X. The commensal species X, in spite of the limitation of its natural resources flourishes drawing strength from the host species Y. The model is characterized by a system of first order nonlinear differential equations. In the last decades, commensalism model studied many researchers [8, 9, 19, 20, 32].

Chen at el. [6] proposed the following two species commensal symbiosis models with nonmonotonic functional response,

$$u_1'(t) = u_1(t) \left[a_{11} - b_{12}u_1(t) + \frac{cu_2(t)}{d + u_2^2(t)} \right],$$

$$u_2'(t) = u_2(t) \left[a_{21} - b_{22}u_2(t) \right],$$

where $a_{11}, a_{21}, b_{12}, b_{22}, c, d$ are all positive constants and showed that the system admits a unique globally asymptotically stable positive equilibrium.

Zhao et al. [35] proposed and analyzed a commensalism model with nonmonotonic functional response and density-dependent birth rates,

$$u_{1}'(t) = u_{1}(t) \left[\frac{a_{11}}{a_{12} + a_{13}u_{1}(t)} - a_{14} - b_{1}u_{1}(t) + \frac{cu_{2}(t)}{d + u_{2}^{2}(t)} \right],$$

$$u_{2}'(t) = u_{2}(t) \left[\frac{a_{21}}{a_{22} + a_{23}u_{2}(t)} - a_{24} - b_{2}u_{2}(t) \right],$$
(1)

where a_{ij} (i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, 3, 4) and b_1, c, d , and b_2 are all positive constants. Here $u_1(t)$ and $u_2(t)$ are the densities of the first and second species at time t, respectively. a_{11} and a_{21} stand for the total resources available per unit time for species u and v, respectively. By applying the differential inequality theory, they showed that each equilibrium can be globally attractive under suitable conditions.

Xie et al. [33] derived sufficient conditions for the existence of positive periodic solution of the following discrete Lotka-Volterra commensal symbiosis model

$$u(k+1) = u(k) \exp \{a_1(k) - b_1(k)u(k) + c_1(k)v(k)\}$$
$$v(k+1) = v(k) \exp \{a_2(k) - b_2(k)v(k)\}$$

where $\{b_i(k)\}, i = 1, 2, \{c_i(k)\}$ are all positive ω -periodic sequences, ω is a fixed positive integer, $\{a_i(k)\}$, are ω -periodic sequences such that $\overline{a}_i = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} a_i(k) > 0$, i = 1, 2.

The differential, difference and dynamic equations on time scales are three equations play important role for modelling in the environment. Among them, the theory of dynamic equations on time scales is the most recent and was introduced by Stefan Hilger in his PhD thesis in 1988 with three main features: unification, extension and discretization. Since a time scale is any closed and nonempty subset of the real numbers set. So, by this theory, we can extend known results from continuous and discrete analysis to a more general setting. As a matter of fact, this theory allows us to consider time scales which possess hybrid behaviours (both continuous and discrete). These types of time scales play an important role for applications, since most of the phenomena in the environment are neither only discrete nor only continuous, but they possess both behaviours. Moreover, basic results on this issue have been well documented in the articles [1, 2] and monographs of Bohner and Peterson [4, 5]. In the real world phenomena, since the almost periodic variation of the environment plays a crucial role in many biological and ecological dynamical systems and is more frequent and general than the periodic variation of the environment. In this paper we systematically unify the existence of almost periodic solutions of commensalism model with nonmonotic functional response and density dependent birth rates modelled by ordinary differential equations and their discrete analogues in the form of difference equations and to extend these results to more general time scales. The concept of almost periodic time scales was proposed by Li and Wang [13]. Based on this concept, some works have been done (see [14–18, 21, 22, 26, 28] and references therein).

Recently, Wang [30] established a criteria for global existence of multiple periodic solutions to the dynamic predator-prey model with delays,

$$u_1^{\Delta}(t) = a(t) - b(t) \exp\{u_1(t)\} - \frac{c(t) \exp\{2u_2(t)\}}{m^2 \exp\{2u_2(t)\} + \exp\{2u_1(t)\}} - h(t) \exp\{-u_1(t)\},$$

$$u_2^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{f(t) \exp\{u_1(t - \tau(t)) + u_2(t - \tau(t))\}}{m^2 \exp\{2u_2(t - \tau(t))\} + \exp\{2u_1(t - \tau(t))\}} - d(t),$$

(...)

by applying continuation theorem based on Gaines and Mawhin's coincidence degree theory, and the corresponding discrete system was studied by [11].

Wang et al. [31] considered the following competitive system on time scales,

$$\begin{split} u_1^{\Delta}(t) &= r_1(t) - a_1(t) \exp\{u_1(t)\} - \frac{b_1(t) \exp\{u_2(t)\}}{1 + \exp\{u_2(t)\}},\\ u_2^{\Delta}(t) &= r_2(t) - a_2(t) \exp\{u_2(t)\} - \frac{b_2(t) \exp\{u_1(t)\}}{1 + \exp\{u_1(t)\}}. \end{split}$$

and established existence and uniformly asymptotic stability of unique positive almost periodic solutions by time scale calculus theory and Lyapunov functional method

Prasad et al. [27] studied the following 3-species predator-prey competition model on time scales,

$$u_1^{\Delta}(t) = r_1(t) - \exp\{u_1(t)\} - \alpha \exp\{u_2(t)\} - \beta \exp\{u_3(t)\},$$

$$u_2^{\Delta}(t) = r_2(t) - \beta \exp\{u_1(t)\} - \exp\{u_2(t)\} - \alpha \exp\{u_3(t)\},$$

$$u_3^{\Delta}(t) = r_3(t) - \alpha \exp\{u_1(t)\} - \beta \exp\{u_2(t)\} - \exp\{u_3(t)\},$$

and established sufficient conditions for the existence and uniform asymptotic stability of unique positive almost periodic solution of system.

Motivated by the aforementioned reasons in this paper we study commensalism model with nonmonotic functional response and density dependent birth rates on time scales,

where $\omega_i(t)$ are the densities of the i^{th} species at time $t \in \mathbb{T}^+(\mathbb{T}^+$ is a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$) and $\omega_i(0) > 0$. ω_i^{Δ} express the delta derivative of the functions $\omega_i(t), i = 1, 2$. $a_{ij}(t), i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $b_1(t), b_2(t), c(t), d(t)$ are bounded positive almost periodic functions. Clearly, if we set $u_i(t) =$ $\exp\{\omega_i\}, i = 1, 2$ and choose $\mathbb{T}^+ = \mathbb{R}^+$ the system (2) is reduced to the model (1) and $\mathbb{T}^+ = \mathbb{Z}^+(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ is the set of nonnegative integer numbers), then the system (2) is reduced to the following discrete system,

$$\begin{split} \omega_1(t+1) &= \omega_1(t) \exp\left[\frac{a_{11}(t)}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t)\omega_1(t)} - a_{14}(t) - b_1(t)\omega_1(t) + \frac{c(t)\omega_2(t)}{d(t) + \omega_2^2(t)}\right],\\ \omega_2(t+1) &= \omega_2(t) \exp\left[\frac{a_{21}(t)}{a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t)\omega_2(t)} - a_{24}(t) - b_2(t)\omega_2(t)\right],\end{split}$$

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we provide some definitions and lemmas which are useful in establishing our main results. In Section 3, we derive sufficient conditions for the permanence of system (2). The sufficient conditions for the existence and uniform asymptotic stability of unique positive almost periodic solution of system (2) are derived in Section 4. In final section, the numeric simulations are given to illustrate the feasibility of the main results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some definitions and developed lemmas which are useful in the next sections.

As we assumed almost periodic functions on \mathbb{T}^+ are bounded, we use the notations

$$f^{\mathcal{L}} = \inf \Big\{ f(t) : t \in \mathbb{T}^+ \Big\},$$

and

$$f^{\mathcal{U}} = \sup\left\{f(t) : t \in \mathbb{T}^+\right\},\$$

where f(t) is an almost periodic function. We use the following notations in the paper:

$$\mathscr{A}_{1} = \frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{U}} a_{13}^{\mathcal{U}} e^{\kappa_{1}}}{\left(a_{12}^{\mathcal{L}} + a_{13}^{\mathcal{L}} e^{\ell_{1}}\right)^{2}}, \quad \mathscr{A}_{2} = \frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}} a_{13}^{\mathcal{L}} e^{\ell_{1}}}{\left(a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + a_{13}^{\mathcal{U}} e^{\kappa_{1}}\right)^{2}},$$

K. R. Prasad et al. / IJM²C, 10 - 01 (2020) 77-94.

$$\mathscr{B}_1 = \frac{c^{\mathcal{U}}\left(d^{\mathcal{U}} - e^{3\ell_2}\right)}{\left(d^{\mathcal{L}} + e^{2\ell_2}\right)^2}, \quad \mathscr{B}_2 = \frac{c^{\mathcal{L}}\left(d^{\mathcal{L}} - e^{3\kappa_2}\right)}{\left(d^{\mathcal{U}} + e^{2\kappa_2}\right)^2},$$

$$\mathscr{C}_{1} = \frac{a_{21}^{\mathcal{U}} a_{23}^{\mathcal{U}} e^{\kappa_{2}}}{\left(a_{22}^{\mathcal{L}} + a_{23}^{\mathcal{L}} e^{\ell_{2}}\right)^{2}}, \quad \mathscr{C}_{2} = \frac{a_{21}^{\mathcal{L}} a_{23}^{\mathcal{L}} e^{\ell_{2}}}{\left(a_{22}^{\mathcal{U}} + a_{23}^{\mathcal{U}} e^{\kappa_{2}}\right)^{2}}.$$

Definition 2.1 [5] A time scale \mathbb{T} is a nonempty closed subset of the real numbers \mathbb{R} . T has the topology that it inherits from the real numbers with the standard topology. It follows that the jump operators $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$, and the graininess $\mu: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ are defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau > t\},\$$

$$\rho(t) = \sup\{\tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau < t\},\$$

and

$$\mu(t) = \rho(t) - t,$$

respectively.

- The point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is left-dense, left-scattered, right-dense, right-scattered if $\rho(t) =$ t, $\rho(t) < t$, $\sigma(t) = t$, $\sigma(t) > t$, respectively.
- If T has a right-scattered minimum m, then T_k = T\{m}; otherwise T_k = T.
 If T has a left-scattered maximum m, then T^k = T\{m}; otherwise T^k = T.
- A function $q: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called rd-continuous provided it is continuous at rightdense points in \mathbb{T} and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}.$

Definition 2.2 [5] A function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called regressive provided $1 + \mu(t) f(t) \neq 0$ 0 for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. The set of all regressive and rd-continuous functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Also, we denote the set

$$\mathcal{R}^+ = \mathcal{R}^+(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in \mathcal{R} : \mu(t) f(t) > 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{T} \}.$$

Lemma 2.3 [10] If a > 0, b > 0 and $-b \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then

$$w^{\Delta}(t) \le (\ge)a - bw(t), \ w(t) > 0, \ t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$$

implies

$$w(t) \le (\ge)\frac{a}{b} \Big[1 + \Big(\frac{bw(t_0)}{a} - 1\Big) e_{(-b)}(t, t_0) \Big], \ t \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Definition 2.4 [13] A time scale \mathbb{T} is called an almost periodic time scale if

$$\prod = \{ \kappa \in \mathbb{R} : t + \kappa \in \mathbb{T}, \forall t \in \mathbb{T} \} \neq \{ 0 \}.$$

Definition 2.5 [13] Let \mathbb{T} be an almost periodic time scale. Then a function $w \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called an almost periodic function if the ε -translation set of w i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E}\{\varepsilon, w\} = \left\{ \kappa \in \prod : |w(t + \kappa) - w(t)| < \varepsilon, \forall t \in \mathbb{T} \right\}$$

is a relatively dense set in \mathbb{T} for any positive real number ε .

Definition 2.6 [13] Let \mathbb{T} be a positive almost periodic time scale. Then a function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called an almost periodic function in $t \in \mathbb{T}$ uniformly for $w \in \mathbb{D}$ if the ε -translation set of ϕ

$$\mathcal{E}\{\varepsilon,\phi,\mathbb{S}\} = \left\{ \kappa \in \prod : |\phi(t+\kappa) - \phi(t)| < \varepsilon, \forall (t,w) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{S} \right\}$$

is a relatively dense set in \mathbb{T} for any positive real number ε , and for each compact subset S of \mathbb{D} .

Next, consider the system

$$w^{\Delta}(t) = \psi(t, w), \tag{3}$$

and its associate product system

$$w^{\Delta}(t) = \psi(t, w), \quad z^{\Delta}(t) = \psi(t, z), \tag{4}$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{S}_B \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbb{S}_B = \{ w \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||w|| < B \}$, $\psi(t, w)$ is almost periodic in t uniformly for $w \in \mathbb{S}_B$ and is continuous in w.

Lemma 2.7 [34] Let $\mathcal{V}(t, w, z)$ be Lyapunov function defined on $\mathbb{T}^+ \times \mathbb{S}^2_B$ and satisfies the following conditions

(i)
$$\alpha(||w-z||) \leq \mathcal{V}(t,w,z) \leq \beta(||w-z||), \text{ where } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P},$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+) : \gamma(0) = 0 \quad and \ \gamma \quad is \ increasing \ \right\};$$

(ii) $|\mathcal{V}(t,w,z) - \mathcal{V}(t,w_1,z_1)| \leq \mathcal{L}(||w-w_1|| + ||z-z_1||)$, where $\mathcal{L} > 0$ is a constant, (iii) $\mathcal{D}^+\mathcal{V}^\Delta(t,w,z) \leq -\lambda \mathcal{V}(t,w,z)$, where $\lambda > 0, -\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^+$.

Further, if there exists a solution $x(t) \in \mathbb{S}$ of system (3) for $t \in \mathbb{T}^+$, where $\mathbb{S} \cup \mathbb{S}_B$ is a compact set, then there exist a unique almost periodic solution $f(t) \in \mathbb{S}$ of system (3), which is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Definition 2.8 System (2) is said to be permanent, if there exist positive constants ℓ , κ such that

$$\ell \leq \liminf_{t \to +\infty} \omega_i(t) < \limsup_{t \to +\infty} \omega_i(t) \leq \kappa, \ i = 1, 2,$$

for any solution $(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t))$ of (2).

3. Permanence

In this section, we derive the sufficient conditions for the system (2) to be permanent.

Lemma 3.1 Suppose that

Then any positive solution $(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t))$ of the dynamic system (2) satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \omega_1(t) \le \kappa_1 := \frac{1}{b_1^{\mathcal{L}}} \left[\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{U}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{L}}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{L}} + c^{\mathcal{U}} - b_1^{\mathcal{L}} \right]$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \omega_2(t) \le \kappa_2 := \frac{1}{b_2^{\mathcal{L}}} \left[\frac{a_{21}^{\mathcal{U}}}{a_{22}^{\mathcal{L}}} - a_{24}^{\mathcal{L}} - b_2^{\mathcal{L}} \right].$$

Proof It follows from the first equation of the system (2) that

$$\begin{split} \omega_{1}^{\Delta}(t) &= \frac{a_{11}(t)}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\omega_{1}(t)\}} - a_{14}(t) - b_{1}(t) \exp\{\omega_{1}(t)\} \\ &+ \frac{c(t) \exp\{\omega_{2}(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{\omega_{2}(t)\}} \\ &\leq \frac{a_{11}(t)}{a_{12}(t)} - a_{14}(t) - b_{1}(t) \exp\{\omega_{1}(t)\} + c(t) \\ &\leq \frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{U}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{L}}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{L}} + c^{\mathcal{U}} - b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}} \exp\{\omega_{1}(t)\} \\ &\leq \frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{U}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{L}}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{L}} + c^{\mathcal{U}} - b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}} \exp\{\omega_{1}(t)\} \\ &\leq \frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{U}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{L}}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{L}} + c^{\mathcal{U}} - b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}} \exp\{\omega_{1}(t)\} \end{split}$$

By using Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \omega_1(t) \le \kappa_1 := \frac{1}{b_1^{\mathcal{L}}} \left[\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{U}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{L}}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{L}} + c^{\mathcal{U}} - b_1^{\mathcal{L}} \right].$$

Similarly from the second equation of the system (2) that

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}^{\Delta}(t) &= \frac{a_{21}(t)}{a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t) \exp\{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}(t)\}} - a_{24}(t) - b_{2}(t) \exp\{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}(t)\} \\ &\leq \frac{a_{21}(t)}{a_{22}(t)} - a_{24}(t) - b_{2}(t) \exp\{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}(t)\} \\ &\leq \frac{a_{21}^{\mathcal{U}}}{a_{22}^{\mathcal{U}}} - a_{24}^{\mathcal{L}} - b_{2}^{\mathcal{L}} \big[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}(t) + 1 \big]. \end{split}$$

From Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \omega_2(t) \le \kappa_2 := \frac{1}{b_2^{\mathcal{L}}} \left[\frac{a_{21}^{\mathcal{U}}}{a_{22}^{\mathcal{L}}} - a_{24}^{\mathcal{L}} - b_2^{\mathcal{L}} \right].$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2 If the inequalities (5) and

hold, then any positive solution $(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t))$ of system (2) satisfies

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \omega_1(t) \geq \ell_1 := \ln \left[\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \left(a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1\} \right)} - \frac{a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}}} \right],$$

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \omega_2(t) \ge \ell_2 := \ln \left[\frac{a_{21}^{\mathcal{L}}}{b_2^{\mathcal{U}} \left(a_{22}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_2\} \right)} - \frac{a_{24}^{\mathcal{U}}}{b_2^{\mathcal{U}}} \right].$$

Proof From Lemma 3.1, we know that

$$\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\omega_1(t)\leq\kappa_1,$$

which means that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^+$ such that $\omega_1(t) \le \kappa_1 + \varepsilon$ for all $t \ge t_0$. Then for $t \ge t_0$, it follows from the first equation of system (2) that

$$\begin{split} \omega_1^{\Delta}(t) = & \frac{a_{11}(t)}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\omega_1(t)\}} - a_{14}(t) - b_1(t) \exp\{\omega_1(t)\} \\ &+ \frac{c(t) \exp\{\omega_2(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{2\omega_2(t)\}} \\ \geq & \frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1 + \varepsilon\}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}} - b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \exp\{\omega_1(t)\}. \end{split}$$

Now we claim that for $t \ge t_0$,

$$\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1 + \varepsilon\}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}} - b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \exp\{\omega_1(t)\} \le 0.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

By way of contradiction, assume that there exists a $\hat{t} \ge t_0$ such that

$$\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1 + \varepsilon\}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}} - b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \exp\{\omega_1(t)\} > 0$$

and for any $t \in [t_0, \hat{t})_{\mathbb{T}^+}$,

$$\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1 + \varepsilon\}} - a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}} - b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \exp\{\omega_1(t)\} \le 0.$$

Then

$$\omega_1(\hat{t}) < \ln\left[\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}}(a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1 + \varepsilon\})} - \frac{a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}}}\right]$$

and for any $t \in [t_0, \hat{t})_{\mathbb{T}^+}$,

$$\omega_1(t) \ge \ln \left[\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \left(a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1 + \varepsilon\} \right)} - \frac{a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}}} \right],$$

which implies $\omega_1^{\Delta}(\hat{t}) < 0$. It is contradiction, and hence the inequality in (7) holds for all $t \ge t_0$, and

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_1(t) \geq \ln \left[\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \left(a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1 + \varepsilon\} \right)} - \frac{a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}}} \right],$$

consequently

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \omega_1(t) \ge \ln \left[\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}} (a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1 + \varepsilon\})} - \frac{a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}}} \right].$$

Since ε is arbitrary small and from the first inequality in (6), we have

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \omega_1(t) \ge \ln \left[\frac{a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \left(a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_1\} \right)} - \frac{a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}}}{b_1^{\mathcal{U}}} \right].$$

Analogously, by the second inequality in (6), we obtain that

$$\liminf_{t \to +\infty} \omega_2(t) \ge \ln \left[\frac{a_{21}^{\mathcal{L}}}{b_2^{\mathcal{U}} \left(a_{22}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp\{\kappa_2\} \right)} - \frac{a_{24}^{\mathcal{U}}}{b_2^{\mathcal{U}}} \right].$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3 Under the assumptions (5) and (6), the system (2) is permanent. **Proof** From Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, the system (2) is permanent.

4. Positive almost periodic solution

In this section, we establish sufficient conditions for the existence, uniqueness and uniform asymptotic stability of positive almost periodic solution of system (2). Define

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \left(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t) \right) : \left(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t) \right) \text{ is a solution of } (2) \\ \text{and } 0 < \ell_i \le \omega_i(t) \le \kappa_i, \ i = 1, 2 \right\}.$$

It is clear that Λ is invariant set of system (2).

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that (5) and (6) are satisfied, then $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$.

Proof The almost periodicity of $a_{ij}(t)$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = 1, 2 implies that there is a sequence $\{\theta_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^+$ with $\theta_k \to +\infty$ such that

$$a_{ij}(t+\theta_k) \to a_{ij}(t), \ as \ k \to +\infty, \ i=1,2,3,4; \ j=1,2.$$

85

From Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, for each sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\tau \in \mathbb{T}^+$ such that

$$\ell_i - \epsilon \leq \omega_i(t) \leq \kappa_i + \epsilon, \text{ for all } t \geq \tau, i = 1, 2.$$

Set $\omega_{ik}(t) = \omega_i(t + \theta_k)$ for $t \ge \tau - \theta_k$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. For any positive integer m, there exists a sequence $\{\omega_{ik}(t) : k \ge m\}$ such that the sequence $\{\omega_{ik}(t)\}$ has a subsequence, denoted by $\{\omega_{ik}^*(t)\}(\omega_{ik}^*(t) = \omega_i(t + \theta_k^*))$, converging on any finite interval of \mathbb{T}^+ as $k \to +\infty$. So we have a sequence $\{w_i(t)\}$ such that for $t \in \mathbb{T}^+$,

$$\omega_{ik}^*(t) \to w_k(t), \ as \ k \to +\infty, \ i = 1, 2.$$
(8)

It is easy to see that the above sequence $\{\theta_k^*\} \subseteq \mathbb{T}^+$ with $\theta_k^* \to +\infty$ for $k \to +\infty$ such that

$$a_{ij}(t + \theta_k^*) \to a_{ij}(t), \ as \ k \to +\infty, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = 1, 2$$

Which, together with (8) and

$$\begin{split} \omega_1^{*\Delta}(t) &= \frac{a_{11}(t+\theta_k^*)}{a_{12}(t+\theta_k^*)+a_{13}(t+\theta_k^*)\exp\{\omega_1(t)\}} - a_{14}(t+\theta_k^*) - b_1(t+\theta_k^*)\exp\{\omega_1(t)\} \\ &+ \frac{c(t+\theta_k^*)\exp\{\omega_2(t)\}}{d(t+\theta_k^*)+\exp\{2\omega_2(t)\}}, \\ \omega_2^{*\Delta}(t) &= \frac{a_{21}(t+\theta_k^*)}{a_{22}(t+\theta_k^*)+a_{23}(t+\theta_k^*)\exp\{\omega_2(t)\}} - a_{24}(t+\theta_k^*) - b_2(t+\theta_k^*)\exp\{\omega_2(t)\}, \end{split}$$

yields

$$w_1^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{a_{11}(t)}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{w_1(t)\}} - a_{14}(t) - b_1(t) \exp\{w_1(t)\} + \frac{c(t) \exp\{w_2(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{2w_2(t)\}},$$
$$w_2^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{a_{21}(t)}{a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t) \exp\{w_2(t)\}} - a_{24}(t) - b_2(t) \exp\{w_2(t)\},$$

It is clear that $(w_1(t), w_2(t))$ is a solution of system (2) and

$$\ell_i - \epsilon \leq w_i(t) \leq \kappa_i + \epsilon, \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{T}^+, i = 1, 2.$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary, it follows that

$$\ell_i \leq w_i(t) \leq \kappa_i, \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{T}^+, i = 1, 2.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2 Assume that (5), (6), $\Gamma_1 > 0$ and $\Gamma_2 > 0$, where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_1 &= \bigg[\Big(2b_1^{\mathcal{L}} e^{\ell_1} + 2b_1^{\mathcal{L}} \mathscr{A}_2 e^{\ell_1} + \mu^{\mathcal{L}} b_1^{\mathcal{L}} e^{\ell_1} \mathscr{B}_2 \Big) \\ &- \Big(2\mathscr{A}_1 + \mu^{\mathcal{U}} \left(b_1^{\mathcal{U}} \right)^2 e^{2\kappa_1} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}} \mathscr{A}_1^2 + \mu^{\mathcal{U}} \mathscr{A}_1 \mathscr{B}_1 + \mathscr{B}_1 \Big) \bigg], \\ \Gamma_2 &= \bigg[\Big(\mu^{\mathcal{L}} b_1^{\mathcal{L}} e^{\ell_1} \mathscr{B}_2 + 2b_2^{\mathcal{L}} e^{\ell_2} \big(1 + \mu^{\mathcal{L}} \mathscr{C}_2 \big) \big) \\ &- \big(\mu^{\mathcal{U}} \mathscr{B}_1^2 + \mu^{\mathcal{U}} \mathscr{A}_1 \mathscr{B}_1 + \mathscr{B}_1 + 2\mathscr{C}_1 + \mu^{\mathcal{U}} \mathscr{C}_1^2 + \mu^{\mathcal{U}} (b_2^{\mathcal{U}})^2 e^{2\kappa_2} \big) \bigg], \end{split}$$

are satisfied. Then the dynamic system (2) has a unique almost periodic solution $(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t)) \in \Lambda$ and is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Proof From Theorem 4.1 that there exists a solution $(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t))$ of system (2) such that

$$\ell_i \leq \omega_i(t) \leq \kappa_i,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}^+$, i = 1, 2. Define

$$\|(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t))\| = |\omega_1(t)| + |\omega_2(t)|, \quad (\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+.$$

Assume that $\mathcal{W}_1(t) = (\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t)), \ \mathcal{W}_2(t) = (w_1(t), w_2(t))$ are any two positive solutions of system (2), then

$$\|\mathcal{W}_1\| \leq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{W}_2\| \leq \kappa_1 + \kappa_2$$

We consider the associate product system of system (2) as follows

$$\begin{split}
\omega_{1}^{\Delta}(t) &= \frac{a_{11}(t)}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\omega_{1}(t)\}} - a_{14}(t) - b_{1}(t) \exp\{\omega_{1}(t)\} \\
&+ \frac{c(t) \exp\{\omega_{2}(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{\omega_{2}(t)\}}, \\
\omega_{2}^{\Delta}(t) &= \frac{a_{21}(t)}{a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t) \exp\{\omega_{2}(t)\}} - a_{24}(t) - b_{2}(t) \exp\{\omega_{2}(t)\}, \\
w_{1}^{\Delta}(t) &= \frac{a_{11}(t)}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{w_{1}(t)\}} - a_{14}(t) - b_{1}(t) \exp\{w_{1}(t)\} \\
&+ \frac{c(t) \exp\{w_{2}(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{2w_{2}(t)\}}, \\
w_{2}^{\Delta}(t) &= \frac{a_{21}(t)}{a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t) \exp\{w_{2}(t)\}} - a_{24}(t) - b_{2}(t) \exp\{w_{2}(t)\}.
\end{split}$$
(9)

Construct the following Lyapunov function $\mathcal{V}(t, \mathcal{W}_1(t), \mathcal{W}_2(t))$ on $\mathbb{T}^+ \times \Omega \times \Omega$ by

$$\mathcal{V}(t,\mathcal{W}_1(t),\mathcal{W}_2(t)) = (\boldsymbol{\omega}_1(t) - \boldsymbol{w}_1(t))^2 + (\boldsymbol{\omega}_2(t) - \boldsymbol{w}_2(t))^2.$$

It is obvious that the norm

$$\|\mathcal{W}_1(t) - \mathcal{W}_2(t)\| = |\omega_1(t) - w_1(t)| + |\omega_2(t) - w_2(t)|$$

is equivalent to

$$\|\mathcal{W}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{2}(t)\|_{*} = \left[\left(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\right)^{2} + \left(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

in other words, there exist two constants $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\delta_1 \| \mathcal{W}_1(t) - \mathcal{W}_2(t) \| \le \| \mathcal{W}_1(t) - \mathcal{W}_2(t) \|_* \le \delta_2 \| \mathcal{W}_1(t) - \mathcal{W}_2(t) \|,$$

and hence we have

$$\left(\delta_1 \| \mathcal{W}_1(t) - \mathcal{W}_2(t) \|\right)^2 \le \mathcal{V}\left(t, \mathcal{W}_1(t), \mathcal{W}_2(t)\right) \le \left(\delta_2 \| \mathcal{W}_1(t) - \mathcal{W}_2(t) \|\right)^2.$$

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$, $\alpha(\omega) = \delta_1^2 \omega^2$, $\beta(\omega) = \delta_2^2 \omega^2$, then the assumption (i) of Lemma 2.7 is satisfied. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{V}(t,\mathcal{W}_{1}(t),\mathcal{W}_{2}(t)) - \mathcal{V}(t,\mathcal{W}_{1}^{*}(t),\mathcal{W}_{2}^{*}(t)) \right| \\ &= \left| \left(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \right)^{2} + \left(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t) \right)^{2} - \left(\omega_{1}^{*}(t) - w_{1}^{*}(t) \right)^{2} - \left(\omega_{2}^{*}(t) - w_{2}^{*}(t) \right)^{2} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \right) - \left(\omega_{1}^{*}(t) - w_{1}^{*}(t) \right) \right| \left| \left(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \right) + \left(\omega_{1}^{*}(t) - w_{1}^{*}(t) \right) \right| \\ &\left| \left(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t) \right) - \left(\omega_{2}^{*}(t) - w_{2}^{*}(t) \right) \right| \left| \left(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t) \right) + \left(\omega_{2}^{*}(t) - w_{2}^{*}(t) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \right) - \left(\omega_{1}^{*}(t) - w_{1}^{*}(t) \right) \right| \left(\left| \omega_{1}(t) \right| + \left| w_{1}(t) \right| + \left| \omega_{1}^{*}(t) \right| + \left| w_{1}^{*}(t) \right| \\ &\left| \left(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t) \right) - \left(\omega_{2}^{*}(t) - w_{2}^{*}(t) \right) \right| \left(\left| \omega_{2}(t) \right| + \left| w_{2}(t) \right| + \left| w_{2}^{*}(t) \right| + \left| w_{2}^{*}(t) \right| \\ &\leq \mathcal{L} \left(\left| \omega_{1}(t) - \omega_{1}^{*}(t) \right| + \left| \omega_{2}(t) - \omega_{2}^{*}(t) \right| + \left| w_{1}(t) - w_{1}^{*}(t) \right| + \left| w_{2}(t) - w_{2}^{*}(t) \right| \\ &= \mathcal{L} \left(\left\| \mathcal{W}_{1}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{1}^{*}(t) \right\| + \left\| \mathcal{W}_{2}(t) - \mathcal{W}_{2}^{*}(t) \right\| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_1^*(t) = (\omega_1^*, \omega_2^*), \mathcal{W}_2^*(t) = (w_1^*, w_2^*)$, and $\mathcal{L} = 4 \max{\{\kappa_i, i = 1, 2\}}$. Hence, the assumption (*ii*) of Lemma 2.7 is satisfied.

Now, estimating the right derivative $\mathcal{D}^+\mathcal{V}^\Delta$ of \mathcal{V} along with associate product

system (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{+}\mathcal{V}^{\Delta}\big(t,\mathcal{W}_{1}(t),\mathcal{W}_{2}(t)\big) \\ &= \big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big)^{\Delta}\big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big) + \big[\omega_{1}(\sigma(t)) - w_{1}(\sigma(t))\big]\big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big) \\ &+ \big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big)^{\Delta}\big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big) + \big[\omega_{2}(\sigma(t)) - w_{2}(\sigma(t))\big]\big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big) \big) \\ &= \big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big)^{\Delta}\big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big) + \big[\big(\mu(t)\omega_{1}^{\Delta}(t) + \omega_{1}(t)\big) \\ &- \big(\mu(t)w_{1}^{\Delta}(t) + w_{1}(t)\big)\big]\big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big)^{\Delta} \\ &+ \big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big)^{\Delta}\big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big) + \big[\big(\mu(t)\omega_{2}^{\Delta}(t) + \omega_{2}(t)\big) \\ &- \big(\mu(t)w_{2}^{\Delta}(t) + w_{2}(t)\big)\big]\big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big)^{\Delta} \\ &= \Big[2\big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big) + \mu(t)\big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big)^{\Delta}\Big]\big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)\big)^{\Delta} \\ &+ \Big[2\big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big) + \mu(t)\big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big)^{\Delta}\Big]\big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\big)^{\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\mathcal{D}^{+}\mathcal{V}^{\Delta}(t,\mathcal{W}_{1}(t),\mathcal{W}_{2}(t)) = \mathcal{V}_{1} + \mathcal{V}_{2}, \qquad (10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}_{1} = \left[2 \big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \big) + \mu(t) \big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \big)^{\Delta} \right] \big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \big)^{\Delta},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{2} = \left[2 \big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t) \big) + \mu(t) \big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t) \big)^{\Delta} \right] \big(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t) \big)^{\Delta}.$$

From the system (9), we have

$$\left(\omega_1(t) - w_1(t) \right)^{\Delta} = a_{11}(t) \left[\frac{1}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\omega_1(t)\}} - \frac{1}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{w_1(t)\}} \right] - b_1(t) \left[\exp\{\omega_1(t)\} - \exp\{w_1(t)\}\right] + c(t) \left[\frac{\exp\{\omega_2(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{2\omega_2(t)\}} - \frac{\exp\{w_2(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{2w_2(t)\}} \right]$$

and

$$(\omega_2(t) - w_2(t))^{\Delta} = a_{21}(t) \left[\frac{1}{a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t) \exp\{\omega_2(t)\}} - \frac{1}{a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t) \exp\{w_2(t)\}} \right]$$
$$- b_2(t) [\exp\{\omega_2(t)\} - \exp\{w_2(t)\}].$$

By mean value theorem, there exit $\xi_i(t)$, $\eta_i(t)$, i = 1, 2 lie between $\omega_i(t)$ and $w_i(t)$, and $\xi(t)$ lie between $\omega_2(t)$ and $w_2(t)$ such that

$$\exp\{\boldsymbol{\omega}_i(t)\} - \exp\{\boldsymbol{w}_i(t)\} = \exp\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i(t)\}[\boldsymbol{\omega}_i(t) - \boldsymbol{w}_i(t)],$$

$$\frac{\exp\{\omega_2(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{2\omega_2(t)\}} - \frac{\exp\{w_2(t)\}}{d(t) + \exp\{2w_2(t)\}} = \left[\frac{d - \exp\{3\xi(t)\}}{(d + \exp\{2\xi(t)\})^2}\right] [\omega_2(t) - w_2(t)],$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a_{i2}(t) + a_{i3}(t) \exp\{\omega_i(t)\}} &- \frac{1}{a_{i2}(t) + a_{i3}(t) \exp\{w_i(t)\}} \\ &= \left[\frac{a_{i3}(t) \exp\{\eta_i(t)\}}{(a_{i2}(t) + a_{i3}(t) \exp\{\eta_i(t)\})^2}\right] [\omega_i(t) - w_i(t)].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}(t) - \boldsymbol{w}_{1}(t) \right)^{\Delta} = \left[\frac{a_{11}(t)a_{13}(t)\exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\}}{(a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t)\exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\})^{2}} \right] \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}(t) - \boldsymbol{w}_{1}(t) \right] - b_{1}(t)\exp\{\xi_{1}(t)\} \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1}(t) - \boldsymbol{w}_{1}(t) \right] + \left[\frac{c(t)(d - \exp\{3\xi(t)\})}{(d + \exp\{2\xi(t)\})^{2}} \right] \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2}(t) - \boldsymbol{w}_{2}(t) \right],$$

and

$$\left(\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\right)^{\Delta} = \left[\frac{a_{21}(t)a_{23}(t)\exp\{\eta_{2}(t)\}}{(a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t)\exp\{\eta_{2}(t)\})^{2}}\right] \left[\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\right] - b_{2}(t)\exp\{\xi_{2}(t)\}\left[\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)\right].$$

Now from (10), we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V}_{1} &= \left[2 \Big(\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \Big) + \mu(t) \Big(\left[\frac{a_{11}(t)a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\}}{(a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\})^{2}} \right] [\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)] \right] \\ &- b_{1}(t) \exp\{\xi_{1}(t)\} [\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)] + \left[\frac{c(t)(d - \exp\{3\xi(t)\})}{(d + \exp\{2\xi(t)\})^{2}} \right] [\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)] \Big) \Big] \\ &\times \left[\left[\frac{a_{11}(t)a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\}}{(a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\})^{2}} \right] [\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)] \right] \\ &- b_{1}(t) \exp\{\xi_{1}(t)\} [\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)] + \left[\frac{c(t)(d - \exp\{3\xi(t)\})}{(d + \exp\{2\xi(t)\})^{2}} \right] [\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)] \Big] \\ &= \left[2 \left(\frac{a_{11}(t)a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\}}{(a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\})^{2}} - b_{1}(t) \exp\{\xi_{1}(t)\} \right) \\ &+ \mu(t)(b_{1}(t))^{2} \exp\{2\xi_{1}(t)\} + \mu(t) \left(\frac{a_{11}(t)a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\}}{(a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\})^{2}} \right)^{2} \\ &- \frac{2b_{1}(t)a_{11}(t)a_{13}(t) \exp\{\xi_{1}(t) + \eta_{1}(t)\}}{(a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\})^{2}} \right] [\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)]^{2} \\ &+ \mu(t) \left[\frac{c(t)(d(t) - \exp\{3\xi(t)\})}{(d(t) + \exp\{2\xi(t)\})^{2}} \right]^{2} [\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)]^{2} \\ &+ 2 \left[\mu(t) \left(\frac{a_{11}(t)a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\}}{(a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t) \exp\{\eta_{1}(t)\})^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{c(t)(d(t) - \exp\{3\xi(t)\})}{(d(t) + \exp\{2\xi(t)\})^{2}} \right) \\ &+ (1 - \mu(t)b_{1}(t) \exp\{\xi_{1}(t)\}) \left(\frac{c(t)(d(t) - \exp\{3\xi(t)\})}{(d(t) + \exp\{2\xi(t)\})^{2}} \right) \right] \\ &\times [\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)][\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)] \end{split}$$

$$\leq \left[2\mathscr{A}_{1} - 2b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{1}} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}} \left(b_{1}^{\mathcal{U}} \right)^{2} e^{2\kappa_{1}} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{A}_{1}^{2} - 2b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}\mathscr{A}_{2}e^{\ell_{1}} \right] \left[\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t) \right]^{2} \\ + \mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{B}_{1}^{2} [\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)]^{2} \\ + 2[\mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{A}_{1}\mathscr{B}_{1} + \mathscr{B}_{1} - \mu^{\mathcal{L}}b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{1}}\mathscr{B}_{2}] [\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)] [\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)]$$

Since $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$\mathcal{V}_{1} \leq -\left[\left(2b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{1}}+2b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}\mathscr{A}_{2}e^{\ell_{1}}+\mu^{\mathcal{L}}b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{1}}\mathscr{B}_{2}\right) \\ -\left(2\mathscr{A}_{1}+\mu^{\mathcal{U}}\left(b_{1}^{\mathcal{U}}\right)^{2}e^{2\kappa_{1}}+\mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{A}_{1}^{2}+\mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{A}_{1}\mathscr{B}_{1}+\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)\right]\left[\omega_{1}(t)-w_{1}(t)\right]^{2} \\ +\left[\mu^{\mathcal{L}}b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{1}}\mathscr{B}_{2}-\left(\mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{B}_{1}^{2}+\mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{A}_{1}\mathscr{B}_{1}+\mathscr{B}_{1}\right)\right]\left[\omega_{2}(t)-w_{2}(t)\right]^{2}.$$
(11)

Similarly, we can find

$$\mathcal{V}_{2} \leq -\left[2b_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{2}}\left(1+\mu^{\mathcal{L}}\mathscr{C}_{2}\right)-\left(2\mathscr{C}_{1}+\mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{C}_{1}^{2}+\mu^{\mathcal{U}}(b_{2}^{\mathcal{U}})^{2}e^{2\kappa_{2}}\right)\right][\omega_{2}(t)-w_{2}(t)]^{2}.$$
(12)

From (10), (11) and (12), we get

$$\begin{split} D^{+}\mathcal{V}^{\Delta}\big(t,\mathcal{W}_{1}(t),\mathcal{W}_{2}(t)\big) &= \mathcal{V}_{1} + \mathcal{V}_{2} \\ &= -\bigg[\big(2b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{1}} + 2b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}\mathscr{A}_{2}e^{\ell_{1}} + \mu^{\mathcal{L}}b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{1}}\mathscr{B}_{2}\big) \\ &\quad - \big(2\mathscr{A}_{1} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}}\left(b_{1}^{\mathcal{U}}\right)^{2}e^{2\kappa_{1}} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{A}_{1}^{2} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{A}_{1}\mathscr{B}_{1} + \mathscr{B}_{1}\big)\bigg][\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)]^{2} \\ &\quad - \bigg[\big(\mu^{\mathcal{L}}b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{1}}\mathscr{B}_{2} + 2b_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}e^{\ell_{2}}\big(1 + \mu^{\mathcal{L}}\mathscr{C}_{2}\big)\big) \\ &\quad - \big(\mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{B}_{1}^{2} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{A}_{1}\mathscr{B}_{1} + \mathscr{B}_{1} + 2\mathscr{C}_{1} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}}\mathscr{C}_{1}^{2} + \mu^{\mathcal{U}}(b_{2}^{\mathcal{U}})^{2}e^{2\kappa_{2}}\big)\bigg][\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)]^{2} \\ &= -\Gamma_{1}[\omega_{1}(t) - w_{1}(t)]^{2} - \Gamma_{2}[\omega_{2}(t) - w_{2}(t)]^{2} \\ &\leq -\lambda\mathcal{V}(t,\mathcal{W}_{1}(t),\mathcal{W}_{2}(t)). \end{split}$$

where $\lambda = \min\{\Gamma_i : i = 1, 2\} > 0$ and $-\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Thus, the assumption (iii) of Lemma 2.7 is satisfied and hence, it follows from Lemma 2.7 that there exists a unique uniformly asymptotically stable almost periodic solution $(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t))$ of dynamic system (2) and $(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t)) \in \Lambda$. This completes the proof.

5. Numerical simulations

In this section we present an example to check the validity of our main results.

Example 5.1 Consider the following system for $\mathbb{T}^+ = \mathbb{R}^+$.

$$u_{1}'(t) = u_{1}(t) \left[\frac{a_{11}(t)}{a_{12}(t) + a_{13}(t)u_{1}(t)} - a_{14}(t) - b_{1}(t)u_{1}(t) + \frac{c(t)u_{2}(t)}{d(t) + u_{2}^{2}(t)} \right],$$

$$u_{2}'(t) = u_{2}(t) \left[\frac{a_{21}(t)}{a_{22}(t) + a_{23}(t)u_{2}(t)} - a_{24}(t) - b_{2}(t)u_{2}(t) \right],$$
(13)

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} \\ a_{14} & a_{24} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 50 + 0.1\sin(\sqrt{3}t) & 48 + 0.1\sin(\sqrt{5}t) \\ 15 + 0.2\sin(\sqrt{2}t) & 28 + 0.1\sin(\sqrt{3}t) \\ 0.2 + 0.1\sin(\sqrt{5}t) & 120 + 0.2\sin(\sqrt{2}t) \\ 0.03 + 0.01\sin(\sqrt{2}t) & 0.002 + 0.01\sin(\sqrt{3}t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.4 + 0.1\cos(\sqrt{2}t) \\ 1.4 - 0.1\sin(\sqrt{5}t) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 + 0.1\sin(\sqrt{2}t) \\ 3.2 + 0.1\sin(\sqrt{3}t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

By calculating, we get

$$57.5 = a_{11}^{\mathcal{U}} + c^{\mathcal{U}} a_{12}^{\mathcal{L}} > 19.536 = \left[a_{14}^{\mathcal{L}} + b_{1}^{\mathcal{L}}\right] a_{12}^{\mathcal{L}},$$
$$48.1 = a_{21}^{\mathcal{U}} > 36.0468 = \left[a_{24}^{\mathcal{L}} + b_{2}^{\mathcal{L}}\right] a_{22}^{\mathcal{L}},$$

which shows that (5) holds and $\kappa_1 = 1.973180873$, $\kappa_2 = 0.3323187208$. Now we check (6),

$$49.9 = a_{11}^{\mathcal{L}} > 0.8957408724 = a_{14}^{\mathcal{U}} (a_{12}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp{\{\kappa_1\}}),$$

$$47.9 = a_{21}^{\mathcal{L}} > 0.3539303657 = a_{24}^{\mathcal{U}} (a_{22}^{\mathcal{U}} + \exp{\{\kappa_2\}}).$$

So, $\ell_1 = 0.3776703951, \ell_2 = 0.07204048280$. From these values we obtain,

$$\mathscr{A}_1 = 0.4840130676, \ \mathscr{A}_2 = 0.02416120093, \ \mathscr{B}_1 = 0.05685627445, \ \mathscr{B}_2 = 0.04254742499, \ \mathscr{C}_1 = 0.3284875457, \ \mathscr{C}_2 = 0.1610553506.$$

By above values (note that for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, $\mu(t) = 0$), we get

$$\Gamma_1 = 2.859856503, \ \ \Gamma_2 = 2.080385645.$$

 $\lambda = \min\{\Gamma_i : i = 1, 2\} > 0 \text{ and } -\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^+$. From Fig. 1-3, it is easy to see that for system (13) there exists a positive almost periodic solution denoted by $(\omega_1^*(t), \omega_2^*(t))$. Moreover, Fig. 4-5 shows that any positive solution $(\omega_1(t), \omega_2(t))$ tends to the above almost periodic solution $(\omega_1^*(t), \omega_2^*(t))$.

References

- R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner, Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications, Results in Mathematics, 35 (1-2) (1999) 3-22.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, D. ORegan and A. Peterson, Dynamic equations on time scales: a survey, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 141 (1-2) (2002) 1–26.





of system (13). Time series of $u_1^*(t)$ with initial value $u_1^*(0) = 2.1$ and t over [0, 300].

Figure 1. Positive almost periodic solution Figure 2. Positive almost periodic solution of system (13). Time series of $x_2^*(t)$ with initial value $x_1^*(0) = 0.43$ and t over [0, 300].



Figure 3. Positive almost periodic solution of system (13). 3-dimensional phase portrait of $u_1^*(t)$ and $u_2^*(t)$ with initial values (2.1, 0.45) for $t \in [0, 200]$.



Figure 4. Uniformly asymptotic stability of system (13). Time series of $u_1(t)$ and $u_1^*(t)$ with initial values $u_1(0) = 2.1, u_1^*(0) = 2.5$ and t over [0, 200].

Figure 5. Uniformly asymptotic stability of system (13). Time series of $u_2(t)$ and $u_2^*(t)$ with initial values $u_2(0) = 0.42, u_2^*(0) = 0.45$ and t over [0, 200].

- [3] A. Armand and Z. Gouyandeh, The Tau-Collocation method for solving nonlinear integro-differential equations and application of a population model, International Journal of Mathematical Modelling & Computations, 7 (4) (2017) 265-276.
- M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, Birkhäuser Boston, [4]Inc., Boston, (2003).
- [5]M. Bohner and A. Peterson, Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, (2001).
- [6] J. Chen and R. Wu, A commensal symbiosis model with nonmonotonic functional response, Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience, 2017 (2017), Article ID 5.
- [7]J. M. Cushing, Integro-Differential Equations and Delay Models in Population Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Bio-Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (1977).
- [8] H. Deng and X. Y. Huang, Te infuence of partial closure for the populations to a harvesting Lotka-

Volterra commensalism model, Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience, **2018** (2018), Article ID 10.

- [9] P. Georgescu, D. Maxin and H. Zhang, Global stability results for models of commensalism, International Journal of Biomathematics, 10 (3) (2017) 1750037, doi:10.1142/S1793524517500371.
- [10] M. Hu and L. L. Wang, Dynamic inequalities on time scales with applications in permanence of predator-prey system, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, 2012 (2012), Article ID 281052, doi:10.1155/2012/281052.
- [11] D. Hu and Z. Zhang, Four positive periodic solutions of a discrete time delayed predator-prey system with nonmonotonic functional response and harvesting, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 56 (2008) 3015-3022.
- [12] J. N. Kapur, Mathematical Modeling in Biology and Medicine, Affiliated East West, (1985).
- [13] Y. K. Li and C. Wang, Uniformly almost periodic functions and almost periodic solutions to dynamic equations on time scales, Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2011 (2011), Article ID 341520, doi:10.1155/2011/341520.
- [14] Y. Li and L. Yang, Almost automorphic solution for neutral type high-order Hopfeld neural networks with delays in leakage terms on time scales, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 242 (2014) 679–693.
- [15] Y. Li and L. Yang, Existence and stability of almost periodic solutions for Nicholsons blowflies models with patch structure and linear harvesting terms on time scales, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 5 (3) (2012) 1250038, doi:10.1142/S1793557112500386.
- [16] Y. Li, L. Yang and H. Zhang, Permanence and uniformly asymptotical stability of almost periodic solutions for a single-species model with feedback control on time scales, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 7 (1) (2014) 1450004, doi:10.1142/S1793557114500041.
- [17] T. Liang, Y. Yang, Y. Liu and L. Li, Existence and global exponential stability of almost periodic solutions to Cohen–Grossberg neural networks with distributed delays on time scales, Neurocomputing, **123** (2014) 207–215.
- [18] Q. Liao, B. Li and Y. Li, Permanence and almost periodic solutions for an n-species Lotka–Volterra food chain system on time scales, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 8 (2) (2014) 1550027, doi:10.1142/S1793557115500278.
- [19] Q. Lin, Dynamic behaviors of a commensal symbiosis model with non-monotonic functional response and non-selective harvesting in a partial closure, Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience, 2018 (2018), Article ID 9862584, doi:10.1155/2018/9862584.
- [20] Y. Liu, X. Xie and Q. Lin, Permanence, partial survival, extinction, and global attractivity of a nonautonomous harvesting Lotka-Volterra commensalism model incorporating partial closure for the populations, Advances in Difference Equations, **2018** (2018), doi:10.1186/s13662-018-1662-3.
- [21] C. Lizama and J. G. Mesquita, Asymptotically almost automorphic solutions of dynamic equations on time scales, (2019), doi:10.12775/TMNA.2019.024.
- [22] C. Lizama, J. G. Mesquita and R. Ponce, A connection between almost periodic functions defined on time scales and R, Applicable Analysis, 93 (12) (2014) 2547–2558.
- [23] A. J. Lotka, Elements of Physical Biology, Williams and Wilking, Baltimore, (1925), Reissued as Elements of Mathematical Biology, Dover, New York, (1956).
- [24] W. J. Meyer, Concepts of Mathematical Modeling, Mc. Graw-Hill, (1985).
- [25] S. Naghshband, A note on the convergence of the homotopy analysis method for nonlinear agestructured population models, International Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Computations, 7 (3) (2017) 231–237.
- [26] K. R. Prasad and M. Khuddush, Existence and global exponential stability of positive almost periodic solutions for a time-scales model of hematopoiesis with multiple time-varying variable delays, International Journal of Difference Equations, 14 (2) (2019) 149–167.
- [27] K. R. Prasad and M. Khuddush, Existence and uniform asymptotic stability of positive almost periodic solutions for three-species LotkaVolterra competitive system on time scales, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 13 (3) (2020), doi:10.1142/S1793557120500588.
- [28] K. R. Prasad and M. Khuddush, Stability of positive almost periodic solutions for a fishing model with multiple time varying variable delays on time scales, Bulletin of International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 9 (2019) 521–533.
- [29] V. Volterra, Le conssen La Theirie Mathematique De LaLeitte PouLavie, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, (1931).
- [30] D. Wang, Multiple periodic solutions of a delayed predatorprey system on time scales with multiple exploited (or harvesting) terms, Afrika Matematika, 25 (4) (2014) 881–896.
- [31] Q. L. Wang and Z. J. Liu, Existence and stability of positive almost periodic solutions for a competitive system on time scales, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 138 (2017) 65–77.
- [32] R. Wu, L. Li and X. Zhou, A commensal symbiosis model with Holling type functional response, Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 16 (3) (2016) 364–371.
- [33] X. Xie, Z. Miao and Y. Xue Positive periodic solution of a discrete Lotka-Volterra commensal symbiosis model, Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience, 2015 (2015), Article ID 2.
- [34] H. T. Zhang and Y. Li, Almost periodic solutions to dynamic equations on time scales, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, 21 (1) (2013) 3–10.
- [35] L. Zhao, B. Qin and X. Sun, Dynamic behavior of a commensalism model with nonmonotonic functional response and density-dependent birth rates, Complexity, 2018 (2018), Article ID 9862584, doi:10.1155/2018/9862584.