Mathematical Analysis and its Contemporary Applications Volume 3, Issue 1, 2021, 39–45. doi: 10.30495/MACA.2021.1925653.1004

Weakly principally quasi-Baer rings and generalized triangular matrix rings

Kamal Paykan

ABSTRACT. Generalized triangular matrix rings are ubiquitous in algebra and have relevant applications to analysis. A ring R is called weakly principally quasi-Baer or simply (weakly p.q.-Baer) if the right annihilator of a principal right ideal is right *s*-unital by right semicentral idempotents, which implies that R modulo the right annihilator of any principal right ideal is flat. In this paper, we characterize when a generalized triangular matrix ring is a weakly p.q.-Baer ring. Examples to illustrate and delimit the theory are provided.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper rings are associative with a nonzero unity, modules are unital. Recall from [16] that R is a *Baer* ring if the right annihilator of every nonempty subset of R is generated by an idempotent. The study of Baer rings has its roots in Operator Theory. In [26] Rickart studied C^* -algebras with the property that every right annihilator of any element is generated by a projection. Using Rickart's work, Kaplansky [15] defined an AW*-algebra as a C^* -algebra with the stronger property that right annihilators of nonempty subsets are genenrated by a projection. In [16] Kaplansky introduced Baer rings to abstract various properties of AW*-algebras, von Neumann algebras, and complete *-regular rings. Berberian continued the development of Baer rings in [1]. The class of Baer rings includes the von Neumann algebras (e.g., the algebra of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space), the commutative C^* -algebra C(T) of continuous complex valued functions on a Stonian space T, and the regular rings whose lattice of principal right ideals is complete (e.g., regular rings which are continuous or right self-injective).

Closely related to Baer rings are principally projective (PP) rings. A ring R is called a *right (resp. left) PP ring* if every principal right (resp. left) ideal is projective (equivalently, if the right (resp. left) annihilator of an element of R is

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16S50; 16S99; 16E50, 16E60.

Key words and phrases. Generalized triangular matrix ring; Annihilator; Quasi-Baer; Weakly principally quasi-Baer; Semicentral idempotent.

KAMAL PAYKAN

generated (as a right (resp. left) ideal) by an idempotent of R). R is called a PP ring if it is both right and left PP. The concept of PP ring is not left-right symmetric by Chase [10]. A right PP ring R is Baer (so PP) when R is orthogonally finite by Small [27] (where R is orthogonally finite if has no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents).

A ring R is called *quasi-Baer* if the right annihilator of every right ideal of R is generated as a right ideal by an idempotent. It is easy to see that the quasi-Baer property is left-right symmetric for any ring. Quasi-Baer rings were initially considered by Clark [11] and used to characterize a finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field as a twisted semigroup algebra of a matrix units semigroup. In [25], Pollingher and Zaks show that the class of quasi-Baer rings is closed under *n*-by-*n* matrix rings and under *n*-by-*n* upper (or lower) triangular matrix rings. Birkenmeier et al. [7] obtained a structure theorem, via triangulating idempotents, for an extensive class of quasi-Baer rings which includes all piecewise domains. Some results on quasi-Baer rings can be found in (cf. [2], [5], [6], [7], [8] and [25]).

Birkenmeier, Kim and Park in [4] introduced the concept of principally quasi-Baer rings. A ring R is called *right principally quasi-Baer* (or simply *right p.q.-Baer*) if the right annihilator of a principal right ideal is generated by an idempotent. Equivalently, R is right p.q.-Baer if R modulo the right annihilator of any principal right ideal is projective. If R is a semiprime ring, then R is right p.q.-Baer if and only if R is left p.q.-Baer. The class of right p.q.-Baer rings includes properly the class of quasi-Baer rings. Some examples were given in [4] to show that the classes of right p.q.-Baer rings and right PP rings are distinct.

Following Tominaga [29], an ideal I of R is said to be *left s-unital* if, for each $a \in I$, there is an element $x \in I$ such that xa = a. According to Liu and Zhao [17], a ring R is called a *right APP-ring* if the right annihilator $r_R(aR)$ is left *s*-unital as an ideal of R for any element $a \in R$ [17]. Left *APP*-rings may be defined analogously. This concept is a common generalization of left p.q.-Baer rings and right PP rings. In [17], authors showed that the APP property is inherited by polynomial extensions and is a Morita invariant property.

As a generalization of p.q.-Baer rings, Majidinya and Moussavi in [18] introduced the concept of weakly p.q.-Baer rings. A ring R with unity is weakly p.q.-Baer if for each $a \in R$ there exists a nonempty subset E of left semicentral idempotents of R such that $r_R(aR) = \bigcup_{e \in E} eR$. The class of weakly p.q.-Baer rings is a natural subclass of the class of APP rings and includes both left p.q.-Baer rings and right p.q.-Baer rings. The properties of these rings have been investigated by many authors (see, [17], [19], [20], [24], and [29], for instance).

Throughout this note, let R and S be rings with unity, M a left R, right S bimodule and

$$T := \left(\begin{array}{cc} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{array}\right)$$

be the generalized triangular matrix ring. Generalized triangular matrix rings have proven to be extremely useful in ring theory. They provide a good source of examples and counter examples as well as providing a framework to explore the connections between $End(M_R)$, M and R when $S = End(M_R)$. Generalized triangular rings have been the topic of a large number of publications, (see, for example [6], [12], [13], [14], [21], [22], [23] and [28]). They are useful in many areas of algebra (e.g., finite dimensional algebras and Morita contexts) and functional analysis (e.g., operator algebras see [9, p. 118-119]).

In this paper, we characterize when a generalized triangular matrix ring is weakly p.q.-Baer. To reach this aim, we identify the annihilators of ideals and the semicentral idempotents of a generalized triangular matrix ring. Then we provide a characterization of the generalized matrix rings which are Weakly principally quasi-Baer. As an application, we determine when the ring $T_n(R)$ of upper triangular matrices over R is a weakly p.q.-Baer ring. Finally, we provide examples to illustrate these concepts.

Recall from [3] that an idempotent $e \in R$ is called *left (resp. right) semicentral* if xe = exe (resp. ex = exe), for all $x \in R$. Equivalently, e is left (respectively, right) semicentral if eR (respectively, Re) is an ideal. If e is both left and right semicentral, then it is central. The set of left (resp. right) semicentral idempotents of R is denoted by $S_{\ell}(R)$ (resp. $S_r(R)$).

For a non-empty subset X of R, $r_R(X)$ (resp. $\ell_R(X)$) is used for the right (resp. left) annihilator of X over R. Furthermore, for a ring R, we denote by $Z_r(R)$, $M_n(R)$ and $T_n(R)$ the right singular ideals of R, the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over R and the ring of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over R, respectively.

2. Characterizations of weakly principally quasi-Baer rings of generalized triangular matrix rings

This section is devoted to characterizations of generalized triangular matrix rings that are weakly principally quasi-Baer. Here we describe the ingredients of our main results, including the annihilators of ideals and the semicentral idempotents of a generalized triangular matrix ring.

The following lemma describes the annihilators of ideals of generalized triangular matrix rings.

KAMAL PAYKAN

Lemma 2.1. [6, Lemma 3.1] Let $T := \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a generalized triangular matrix ring and $\begin{pmatrix} I & N \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix}$ a right ideal of T. Then: $r_T \begin{pmatrix} I & N \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_R(I) & r_M(I) \\ 0 & r_S(J) \cap r_S(N) \end{pmatrix}.$

To characterize the generalized triangular matrix rings that are a weakly p.q.-Baer ring, we will need the following result. This lemma plays a fundamental role to achieve our aim in Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 2.2. [8, Lemma 2.5] Let $d = \begin{pmatrix} e & m \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$ be a left semicentral idempotent in $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$. Then dT = cT where $c = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$.

Remark 2.3. [18, Remark 2.15] If the ideals I and J are left s-unital by left semicentral idempotents, then so is $I \cap J$.

Now we are ready to characterize generalized upper triangular matrix rings that are weakly p.q.-Baer.

Theorem 2.4. Let $T := \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ be a generalized triangular matrix ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) T is a weakly p.q.-Baer ring.
- (2) (i) R and S are weakly p.q.-Baer;
 - (ii) for each $r \in R$, $x \in r_R(rR)$ and $y \in r_M(rR)$ there exists a left semicentral idempotent $e \in r_R(rR)$ such that x = ex and y = ey;
 - (iii) for each $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, the ideal $r_S(rM + mS)$ is left s-unital by left semicentral idempotent.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume that $r \in R$, $s \in S$ and $m \in M$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $r_T\left(\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} T\right) = \begin{pmatrix} r_R(rR) & r_M(rR) \\ 0 & r_S(sS) \cap r_S(rM + mS) \end{pmatrix}$. Since Tis a weakly principally quasi-Baer ring, $r_T\left(\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} T\right)$ is left *s*-unital by left semicentral. Then for any $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \in r_T\left(\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} T\right)$, there exists $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\ell}(T) \cap r_T\left(\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} T\right)$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$. From Lemma 2.2 it follows that there exists an left semicentral idempotent $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix}$ in

$$r_T\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}r&m\\0&s\end{array}\right)T\right) \text{ such that } \left(\begin{array}{cc}x&y\\0&z\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}e&0\\0&f\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}x&y\\0&z\end{array}\right).$$
(i) Since for each $r \in R$, $r_T\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}r&0\\0&0\end{array}\right)T\right)$ is left s-unital by left semicentral,

 $r_R(rR)$ is left *s*-unital by left semicentral. Also, for each $s \in S$, $r_T\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}T\right)$ is left *s*-unital by left semicentral, $r_S(sS)$ is left *s*-unital by left semicentral. Therefore, R and S are weakly principally quasi-Baer.

(ii) It is clear.

(*iii*) Since for each $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, $r_T\left(\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}T\right)$ is left s-unital by left semicentral, $r_S(rM + mS)$ is left s-unital by left semicentral.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1). \text{ Since } r_S(rM + mS) \text{ and } r_S(sS) \text{ are left } s\text{-unital by left semicentral,} \\ r_S(rM + mS) \cap r_S(sS) \text{ is also left } s\text{-unital by left semicentral. Therefore, for any } z \in \\ r_S(rM + mS) \cap r_S(sS) \text{ there exists an left semicentral idempotent } f \in S \text{ such that } z = \\ fz. \text{ From the condition } (iii) \text{ we infer that for any } \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \in r_T \left(\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} T \right), \\ \text{there exists } \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_{\ell}(T) \text{ such that } \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}. \text{ So } T \text{ is a weakly principally quasi-Baer ring which completes the proof.}$

The following result was proven in [18, Theorem 2.20]. But as an application of Theorem 2.4, we give a direct and different proof.

Theorem 2.5. [18, Theorem 2.20] Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is weakly p.q.-Baer;
- (2) $T_n(R)$ is a weakly p.q.-Baer ring for every positive integer n;
- (3) $T_n(R)$ is a weakly p.q.-Baer ring for some positive integer n.

PROOF. It is clear if n = 1. If n > 1 then $T_{n+1}(R) = \begin{pmatrix} R & M \\ 0 & T_n(R) \end{pmatrix}$, where $M = (R, R, \ldots, R)$ (*n*-tuple). Now, the result follows by induction on n using Theorem 2.4.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.4 and provides a rich source of rings which are weakly p.q.-Baer.

Corollary 2.6. Let R be a weakly p.q.-Baer ring and S a unitary subring of R such that $r_R(aS) = 0$ for any $0 \neq a \in S$. Then the ring $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ is a weakly p.q.-Baer ring.

KAMAL PAYKAN

Example 2.7. Let D be a domain, $R = M_2(D)$ and $S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} a \in D \right\}$. Since D is a domain, R is weakly p.q.-Baer. For any $0 \neq a \in S$, $r_R(aS) = 0$. Then by Corollary 2.6, $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ is a weakly p.q.-Baer ring.

As an application of Corollary 2.6, we obtain a class of examples of weakly p.q.-Baer rings that are neither PP and nor nonsingular.

Example 2.8. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$, where R is a prime and S is a unitary subring of the center of R. Then from Corollary 2.6 it follows that T is a weakly p.q.-Baer ring. Moreover, if $Z_r(R) \neq 0$, then $Z_r(T) \neq 0$. Since every right PP ring is right nonsingular, it implies that T is not right PP ring.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my thanks to the referee for a very careful reading of the paper and valuable comments which have definitely improved the paper.

References

- [1] S. K. Berberian, *Baer* *-*rings*, Springer-verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [2] G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, On quasi-Baer rings, Contemp. Math., 259 (2000), 67-92.
- [3] G. F. Birkenmeier, H. E. Heatherly, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, Triangular matrix representations, J. Algebra, 230 (2000), 558-595.
- [4] G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, Principally quasi-Baer rings, Comm. Algebra, 29(2) (2001), 639-660.
- [5] G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, Polynomial extensions of Baer and quasi-Baer rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 159 (2001), 24-42.
- [6] G. F. Birkenmeier, J. K. Park and S. T. Rizvi, Generalized triangular matrix rings and the fully invariant extending property, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 32(4) (2002), 1299-1319.
- [7] G. F. Birkenmeier and J. K. Park, Triangular matrix representations of ring extensions, J. Algebra, 265(2) (2003), 457-477.
- [8] G. F. Birkenmeier and D. D. Davis, Quasi-Baer and biregular generalized matrix rings, J. Algebra Appl., 16(2) (2017), 1750067 (15 pages).
- [9] D. P. Blecher, C. Le, Merdy, Operator Algebras and Their Modules. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.
- [10] S. A. Chase, Generalization of triangular matrices, Nagoya Math. J., 18 (1961), 13-25.
- [11] W. E. Clark, Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras, Duke Math. J., 34 (1967), 417-424.
- [12] A. Haghany and K. Varadarajan, Study of formal triangular matrix rings, Comm. Algebra, 27(11) (1999), 5507-5525.
- [13] A. Haghany and K. Varadarajan, Study of modules over formal triangular matrix rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 147(1) (2000), 41-58.
- [14] P. A. Krylov and A. A. Tuganbaev, Modules over formal matrix rings, J. Math. Sci., 171 (2)(2010), 248-295.

- [15] I. Kaplansky, Projections in Banach algebras, Ann. Math., 53 (1951), 235-249.
- [16] I. Kaplansky, Rings of operators, Benjamin New York, 1965.
- [17] Z. Liu and R. Zhao, A generalization of PP-rings and p.q.-Baer rings, Glasg. Math. J., 48(2) (2006), 217-229.
- [18] A. Majidinya and A. Moussavi, Weakly principally quasi-Baer rings, J. Algebra Appl., 15(1) (2016), (20 pages).
- [19] A. Majidinya, A. Moussavi and K. Paykan, *Generalized APP-rings*, Comm. Algebra, 41(12) (2013), 4722-4750.
- [20] A. Majidinya, A. Moussavi and K. Paykan, Rings in which the annihilator of an ideal is pure, Algebra Colloq., 22(Spec 1) (2015), 947-968.
- [21] K. Paykan, Some new results on skew triangular matrix rings with constant diagonal, Vietnam J. Math., 45(4) (2017), 575-584.
- [22] K. Paykan, Morita contexts and the projective socle property, Preprint.
- [23] K. Paykan, Special properties of Morita contex, Preprint.
- [24] K. Paykan and A. Moussavi, Differential extensions of weakly principally quasi-Baer rings, Acta Math. Vietnam, 44(4) (2019), 977-991.
- [25] A. Pollingher and A. Zaks, On Baer and quasi-Baer rings, Duke Math. J., 37 (1970), 127-138.
- [26] C. E. Rickart, Banach algebras with an adjoint operation, Ann. Math., 47 (1946), 528-550.
- [27] L. W. Small, Semihereditary rings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1967), 656-658.
- [28] G. Tang, C. Li, and Y. Zhou, Study of Morita context, Comm. Algebra, 42(4) (2014), 1668-1681.
- [29] H. Tominaga, On s-unital rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 18(2) (1976), 117-134.

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCES, GARMSAR BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, GARM-SAR, IRAN.

Email address: k.paykan@gmail.com